Addendum to Overview and Scrutiny Report

Committee: Sustainable Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel

25th January 2011

Healthier Communities & Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panel

26th January 2011

Corporate Capacity Overview and Scrutiny Panel

27th January 2011

Children and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Panel

8th February 2011

Overview and Scrutiny Commission

9th February 2011

Agenda item:

Subject: Budget Update 2011/12 - Additional

recommendation and amended information

Lead officer: Caroline Holland

Lead member: Councillor Mark Allison

Contact officer: Grant Miles, Head of Finance

Urgent report

Reason for urgency: The legal requirements for Access to Information have not been met for the Sustainable Communities and Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panels. The Chair(man) has approved the submission of this report as a matter of urgency to allow the Panel the opportunity to comment on the budget and put forward recommendations to the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Commission on 9 February 2011.

Recommendations:

- 1. That the Panel consider the latest information in respect of the Phase 3 savings and growth and refer comments on the draft package of budget proposals to the Overview and Scrutiny Commission.
- 2. That the Overview and Scrutiny Commission considers the comments of the Panels and provides a response on the Phase 3 savings and growth to Cabinet when it meets on 14th February 2011.

Addendum to Overview and Scrutiny Report

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 Phase 1 and Phase 2 savings and growth have already been provided to the Panel. However since the original package of savings and growth were agreed by Cabinet on 13th December 2010, additional information was considered by Cabinet on 17th January 2011, which agreed:
 - 1. That Cabinet approves the updated draft Budget 2011/12 package, draft Medium Term Financial Strategy 2011-2015, and draft Capital Programme 2011-15.
 - 2. That Cabinet note that a decision relating to savings proposal CSF15 in respect of Merton Music Foundation has been deferred and any decision in relation to this will be reported to the Scrutiny Panels and the Overview and Scrutiny Commission on 9 February 2011.
- 1.2 Minutes from Cabinet on 17th January in respect of this agenda item are included as Annex 1.
- 1.3 Additional information agreed by Cabinet on the 17th January 2011 is attached for consideration of the Panel.

Extract from minutes of Cabinet meeting

CABINET 17 JANUARY 2011

TIME: 7.15pm - 8.10pm

PRESENT: Councillor Stephen Alambritis (in the Chair); Councillors Mark

Allison, Mark Betteridge, Linda Kirby, Edith Macauley, Maxi

Martin, Peter Walker and Martin Whelton

BUDGET 2011/12 AND MTSF 2011-15 (Agenda Item 10)

Reason for Urgency

The chairman has approved the submission of this report as a matter of urgency as it provides the latest available information on the Budget 2011/12 and requires consideration of issues relating to the Budget process and Medium Term Financial Strategy 2011-2015. It is important that this consideration is not delayed in order that the Council can work towards a balanced budget at its meeting on 2nd March 2011 and set a Council Tax as appropriate for 2011/12.

Councillor Mark Allison made a number of introductory comments:

he thanked all those involved in constructing the budget to date and, in particular he extended his appreciation to scrutiny and the opposition parties for holding the executive to account and for drawing out matters than may be problematical in the future;

since the last meeting of Cabinet the Government has announced its assessment for Merton and further detail has been received in respect of various grants. Taken together this gives rise to an increase in the budget gap from approximately £24m to £26m. The papers identify approximately £14m of savings following a concentrated process of identifying where service reductions can be made in the fairest manner;

as a result of various other measures the gap has been closed to approximately £3m although a number of concerns remain around some Government grants being reduced in particular areas; and

efforts will continue towards achieving a balanced budget including a freeze of the council tax.

The Director of Corporate Services drew members' attention to the areas of growth detailed on page 160 of the submitted report which includes a release of some of the corporate contingency in respect of Children, Schools and Families and in respect of shortfalls in Environment and Regeneration. The draft capital programme is detailed and changes are anticipated to include any additional funding streams that may be available and also having regard to those grants that require further clarification. The Director also drew attention to the update included in the report regarding the Council's pension fund (page 101 of the submitted report refers).

Referring to the issue of the voluntary sector, Councillor Linda Kirby gave tribute to

CABINET 17 JANUARY 2011

the officers for the amount of time and effort that has gone into addressing the various grant funding issues. In particular, she thanked the voluntary sector team working with the Council and their input to risk assessment and prioritising. The voluntary sector team consulted with voluntary groups encouraging them to make revised bids for funding. An assessment of the revised bids was made by officers suggesting a cut of 15-16% this being much less than the voluntary sector was expecting. She thanked the Compact for their efforts in regard to these matters confirming that work is ongoing.

In respect of the Taylor Road issue, it remains the case that the Council still requires to decide to dispose of or maintain the building. In this regard, consultation with the BME Forum is required due to their Strategy including Taylor Road. The Council wishes to encourage the BME to maximise their funding opportunities through application to other funding organisations. In this way the Council may be in a position to pass on costs rather than cut services.

There followed comments from other members both thanking all those involved in dealing with voluntary sector concerns and, in so doing, maintaining the valued relationship between the Council and voluntary sector groups.

Councillor Mark Betteridge made a number of comments and, in particular, referred to the significant decisions to be taken by the Council in the future and the need to have regard to the borough's residents and their input and support.

The Chair put the recommendations to the meeting and it was

RESOLVED: That

- 1. approval is given to the updated draft Budget 2011/12 package, draft Medium Term Financial Strategy 2011-2015 and draft Capital Programme 2011-15; and
- 2. it is noted that a decision relating to savings proposal CSF15 in respect of Merton Music Foundation has been deferred and any decision in relation to this will be reported to the Scrutiny Panels and the Overview and Scrutiny Commission on 9 February 2011.

Cabinet

Date: 17 January 2011

Agenda item:

Wards:

Subject: Budget 2011/12 and MTFS 2011-15

Lead officer: Caroline Holland

Lead member: Councillor Mark Allison

Key Decision Reference Number: This report is written and any decisions taken are within the Budget and Policy Framework Procedure Rules as laid out in Part 4-C of the Constitution.

Contact officer: Andy Wood

Urgent report:

Reason for urgency: The chairman has approved the submission of this report as a matter of urgency as it provides the latest available information on the Budget 2011/12 and requires consideration of issues relating to the Budget process and Medium Term Financial Strategy 2011-2015. It is important that this consideration is not delayed in order that the Council can work towards a balanced budget at its meeting on 2nd March 2011 and set a Council Tax as appropriate for 2011/12.

Recommendations:

- 1. That Cabinet approves the updated draft Budget 2011/12 package, draft Medium Term Financial Strategy 2011-2015, and draft Capital Programme 2011-15.
- That Cabinet note that a decision relating to savings proposal CSF15 in respect of Merton Music Foundation has been deferred and any decision in relation to this will be reported to the Scrutiny Panels and the Overview and Scrutiny Commission on 9 February 2011.

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 At the meeting of Cabinet on 13th December 2010, Members approved the latest available draft Budget 2011/12 package, draft Medium Term Financial Strategy 2011-2015 and draft Capital Programme 2011-15 for consultation with the scrutiny panels and commission in January and February 2011.
- 1.2 Due to the size of the budget gap and ongoing analysis of the impact of the Local Government Finance Settlement, work is continuing to produce a robust, balanced budget. Also the impact of future inflation movements on the budget and the impact of 2010/11 budget pressures need to be reviewed as late in the budget process as possible to ensure that information is as up to

- date as can reasonably be expected. As a result of details arising from the Settlement and further work on savings there is a need for an additional package of measures to be identified.
- 1.3 The report also discusses the potential implications of the new Council Tax Freeze Grant, possible arrangements for veto of excessive council tax increases and capping rules which may restrict the Council's scope in the future to cover for the loss of grant.
- 1.4 The report also sets out details of the latest draft capital programme 2011-15 including associated revenue implications to ensure that revenue and capital implications of the budget process are fully integrated.
- 1.5 The report sets out the planned timetable which is designed to enable the Council to set a balanced budget and Council Tax at its meeting on 2nd March 2011.
- 1.6 Finally, the workforce implications arising from the budget process are summarised in the report.

2. **DETAILS**

- 2.1 On 13th December 2010, Cabinet received a report on the budget for 2011/12, MTFS 2011-2015 and capital programme 2011-15 detailing progress made so far towards setting a balanced revenue budget for 2011/12 and fully funded capital programme.
- 2.2 The report reviewed and updated the budget position set out in the Cabinet report on 8th November 2010 which was considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Commission on 18th November 2010. This included consideration of the first phase of savings, proposed to enable a balanced budget to be set.
- 2.3 The report to Cabinet in December included a second phase of savings and some growth proposals. The Medium Term Financial Strategy 2011-15 was updated to incorporate the savings and growth proposals as detailed in the report. Cabinet on 13th December 2010 resolved that:-
 - the latest information in respect of the Budget 2011/12 and Medium Term Financial Strategy 2011-2015 is noted and that approval is given to the latest package of budget proposals and draft Medium Term Financial Strategy 2011-2015 and refers them to the Overview and Scrutiny Commission and Panels for comment;
 - 2. it is noted that the two savings for which decisions were held in abeyance following Cabinet on 8 November (i.e._ Page 55 of the printed agenda Ref: CSF15 Reducing of Financial Support to Merton Music Foundation; and Page 79 of the printed agenda –

- Ref: CC22 Direct Provision, Closure of Taylor Road") will be considered again in January 2011;
- 3. the draft Capital Programme 2011-15 is noted and confirms the draft programme to refer to the Overview and Scrutiny Commission and Panels for comment;
- 4. it is noted that there will be a review of the Capital Strategy to ensure that the funding of the programme and the debt outstanding of the authority can be optimised;
- Council is recommended to approve the addition of the Sure Start-Children's Centres scheme, estimated to cost £0.612m, to the Capital Programme and approval is given to a new capital scheme relating to the technical costs of joining the London Libraries Consortium; and
- 6. the comments of the Overview and Scrutiny Commission on the initial package referred to it by Cabinet on 8 November 2010 are noted together with the responses as set out in agenda item 3 of this agenda.
- 2.4 The updated MTFS to Cabinet on 13th December 2010, taking into account the savings and growth proposals included in that report, identified the budget gap as follows:-

	Forecast 2011/12	Forecast 2012/13	Forecast 2013/14	Forecast 2014/15	4 Year Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Revised Gap (after savings/income and growth) & four year cumulative total	23.673	18.407	14.713	13.764	70.557
Less: Growth Block sum included above	(3.245)	0.000	0.000	0.000	(3.245)
Add: Approved growth met from block sum	0.221	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.221
Add: Approved growth not met from block sum	0.253	0.339	0.000	0.000	0.592
Add: Balance of Growth Block sum not used	3.024	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.024
Draft Savings Proposals (Phases 1 and 2)	(12.794)	(0.058)	(0.015)	0.000	(12.867)
Revised Budget Gap	11.132	18.688	14.698	13.764	58.282

- 2.4.1 This assumes that the savings in government grant required in 2010/11 are fully achieved. Progress is set out in paragraph 2.5.2.
- 2.5 <u>Savings in 2011/12</u>
- 2.5.1 Departments have made significant progress in identifying savings and Cabinet have approved savings of £12.794m against an initial target of £14m.

a) General Fund Savings:

	SAVINGS	Cabinet 8 th November	Additional Savings identified (Phase 2)	SHORTFALL/ (SURPLUS)
	2011/12	2011/12		2011/12
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's	387	} 3,581	0	} (163)
Corporate Services	3,031	}		}
Environmental Services	4,702	2,724	1,530	448
Children, Schools and Families	1,422	(Note 1) 492	717	213
Community and Housing	4,458	(Note 2) 2,654	1,096	708
Total	14,000	9,451	3,343	1,206

Note 1: Excludes CSF15: Reducing of Financial Support to Merton Music Foundation (£0.072m in 2011/12) held in abeyance until January 2011.

Note 2: Excludes CC22: Closure of Taylor Road (£0.022m in 2011/12) held in abeyance until January 2011.

2.5.2 In addition, as a result of the need to find in-year savings to meet reductions in government grant in 2010/11 departments also had to identify reductions in Area Based Grants. Progress against this is set out in the table below:-

ABG Savings:

		Reported to	Additional	SHORTFALL/
		Cabinet 8 th		(SURPLUS)
		November	identified	
	ABG		(Phase 2)	
	LOSS			
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's	66	66	0	0
Corporate Services	0	0	0	0
Environmental Services	22	0	0	22
Children, Schools and Families	919	789	120	10
Community and Housing	106	10	96	0
Total	1,113	865	216	32

2.6 Local Government Finance Settlement 2011-13

2.6.1 The 2011-12 and 2012-13 Provisional Local Government Finance Settlement was announced on 13 December 2010. It provides provisional allocations to local authorities for 2011/12 and 2012/13 based on the headline totals published as part of the Spending Review in October 2010. The fact that it is only a two year Settlement is not an aid to Merton's medium and long-term planning.

- 2.6.2 Previously, revenue grant funding to local authorities consisted of three main elements:-
 - Formula Grant (Revenue Support Grant + Share of Business Rates pool)
 - Area Based Grants and other non ring-fenced grants
 - Specific and Special Grants ring-fenced

2.6.3 <u>Year on Year Changes in Formula Grant:</u>

	2011/12	2012/13
	%	%
England	-9.9	-7.3
London boroughs	-11.3	-7.6
Inner London	-11.2	-7.4
Outer London	-11.3	-7.9
GLA	-4.9	-5.9
Merton	-13.3	-9.4

2.6.4 The DCLG has presented the figures for authorities in terms of Revenue Spending Power. Revenue Spending Power is defined as the aggregate of the authority's council tax income, formula grant, other specific grants and NHS funding for social care. To ensure greater certainty in funding the data used to calculate this grant will be based on figures as at the provisional finance settlement. Changes made after this date will not be included. For Merton, the key figures are:-

CALCULATION OF REVENUE SPENDING POWER

	2010/11 £m	2011/12 £m	Change £m	Change %	2011/12 Adjusted £m	2012/13 £m	Change £m	Change %
Council Tax requirement	82.163	82.163	0	0	82.163	82.163	0	0
Formula Grant	67.733	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.182	59.961	-6.221	-9.40
Rolled –in grants	9.103	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sub-total: Formula Grant	76.836	66.617	10.219	-13.30	66.182	59.961	-6.221	-9.40
Specific & Special Grants	17.030	20.490	3.460	20.32	18.893	19.332	0.439	2.32
Sub-total: Grants	93.866	87.107	-6.759	-7.20	85.075	79.293	-5.732	-6.8
Estimated Revenue Spending Power	176.029	169.270	-6.759	-3.84	167.238	161.456	-5.782	-3.46%

2.6.5 Reduction in Formula Grant

Taking into account the rolled-in grants, the reduction in formula grant from 2010/11 to 2011/12 is £10.219m, (-13.3%) and from 2011/12 to 2012/13 is £6.221m (-9.4%). In order to allocate this reduction, the simplest and fairest method is to reduce each element pro rata to recoup the total grant lost. This is set out in the following table:-

	2010/11 Provisional Settlement GF	2011/12 GF	Change 2011/12	2012/13 GF	Change 2012/13
Grant	<u>£m</u>	<u>£m</u>	<u>£m</u>	<u>£m</u>	<u>£m</u>
Formula Grant (Actual 2010/11)	67.733	58.788	-8.945	52.962	-5.826
Funding top-sliced from grants	-0.543	-0.543	0.000	-0.543	0.000
Rolled-in Grants:					
Corporate Services	0.123	0.106	-0.016	0.096	-0.011
Children Schools & Families	1.121	0.973	-0.148	0.877	-0.096
Environment and Regeneration	0.065	0.056	-0.009	0.051	-0.006
Community & Housing	8.337	7.234	-1.103	6.520	-0.716
Sub-total: Rolled-in Grants	9.103	7.829	-1.274	6.999	-0.830
Total	76.836	66.617	-10.219	59.961	-6.656

A detailed analysis of these changes is set out in Appendix 2.

2.6.6 Specific and Special Grants

Changes in specific and special grants are treated differently. Although not all of these are ring-fenced in 2011/12, it has been assumed that changes in these are earmarked for specific purposes, so any increase/decrease in grant is matched by a corresponding increase/decrease in expenditure meaning that there is no overall change in the budget gap. Increases will be subject to review to see if savings can be made. The impact of decreases will also be considered to see if the financial effect can be contained within the area of spend. There will be an update on this as part of the report to Cabinet on 14 February 2011.

	2010/11 GF	2011/12 GF	Change 2011/12	2012/13 GF	Change 2012/13
	<u>£m</u>	<u>£m</u>	<u>£m</u>	<u>£m</u>	<u>£m</u>
Learning Disability (2010/11 Adjusted)	6.520	6.653	0.133	6.810	0.157
Early Years Intervention Grant (2010/11 adjusted)	8.301	7.600	-0.701	8.069	0.469
Migration Impact Fund (2010/11)	0.079	0.000	-0.079	0.000	0.000
Preventing Violent Extremism	0.160	0.000	-0.160	0.000	0.000
Housing Benefit & Council Tax Benefit Admin Subsidy	1.566	1.597	0.031	TBA	TBA
Preventing Homelessness (2010/11 adjusted)	0.403	0.500	0.097	0.403	-0.097
Indicative Council Tax Freeze Grant *	0.000	2.088	2.088	2.088	0.000
NHS funding to Support Social Care Benefit Health *	0.000	2.052	2.052	1.962	-0.090
Total	17.030	20.490	3.460	TBA	TBA

^{*} New source of funding

2.6.7 One aspect of the Provisional Settlement that will lead to potential difficulties is the fact that a number of funding streams have been

ended or have yet to be confirmed. Analysis of the implications for services of these is continuing, The grants that have been confirmed as ended are set out in Appendix 3, as are those which are under review/unkown. At the time of writing this report, not all information has been received. The position will be updated in the report to Cabinet on 14 February 2011.

- 2.6.8 In addition, there may be some sources of funding that services receive via other agencies which will be discontinued as a result of funding cuts in those areas.
- 2.6.9 Current practice is that, where a service is funded by grant, if the grant funding source ends then the service should be discontinued or an alternative funding source identified.
- 2.6.10 A more detailed summary of the Provisional Settlement is set out in Appendix 1.

2.7 Corporate and Technical Adjustments

Officers have continued to review all areas of their budgets in liaison with Cabinet members in order to be able to present a balanced budget in March 2011 which takes into account the latest available information. Corporate and technical provisions have been reviewed to update them for the latest available information and to identify areas which could contribute to bridging the funding gap.

2.7.1 Pay and Prices

a) Pay:

<u>2010/11</u> – As previously notified, because of the lack of progress in pay negotiations, the Trade Unions registered a formal dispute with the employers on 26 July under paragraph 17 of the Green Book constitution which means that the matters under dispute will be referred to ACAS for arbitration.

<u>2011/12 - The Government has previously announced that "a two year pay freeze will be introduced from 2011-12 for public sector workforces, except for those earning £21,000 or less, who will receive an increase of at least £250 a year".</u>

Pay Claim 2011/12: The Trade Union Side of the National Joint Council for Local Government Services has submitted (on 13 October 2010) a pay claim for 2011/12. The headline claim is: "An increase of at least £250 on all salaries and spinal column points for 2011/12 and a joint review of employment condition proposals tabled by the trade unions in 2008/9." This equates to an increase of approximately 1.2%.

The budget provision for a pay award in 2010/11 of £0.810m was clawed back and added to the corporate contingency. The provision

of £0.428m will be held centrally, pending the outcome of pay negotiations in 2011/12.

b) Prices:

CPI annual inflation – the Government's target measure – was 3.3% in November which increased from 3.2% in October . There were upward pressures from food and non-alcoholic drinks, particularly bread, cereals and meat; clothing and footwear; and furniture, household equipment and maintenance. These upward pressures were partially offset by down trends in air transport fares, fuels and lubricants and the price of recreation and culture. In the year to November, RPI annual inflation was 4.7% up from 4.5% in October, with the main factors that affected CPI also affecting the RPI.

Provision of £5.549m is included in the MTFS in 2011/12 for price increases. This equates to a 2% increase for most non-employee budgets, plus additional amounts where inflation is expected to exceed that.

c) Utilities:

Given the volatility of utilities costs over the past 2-3 years, provision has been included to provide cover for potential increases in these budget heads. The budget for 2010/11 is £0.717m and provision of £0.217m is included in the inflation provision for additional utilities costs. The MTFS, therefore, includes £0.934m for the potential inflationary impact of a large increase in utilities costs. Current contracts expire in September and October 2011 and are likely to show an increase over current costs but not as significant as estimated. The latest estimated increases for the next three years are:-

2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
£000	£000	£000
102	186	35

Given the volatility of utilities budgets in the current economic climate, it may be prudent to retain the £0.217m element in the inflation provision for utilities as costs in this area can fluctuate dramatically through fuel shortages, and oil and gas supply problems. The lump sum budget of £0.717m could be released.

d) Outlook for inflation

The Bank of England published its latest quarterly inflation report on 10th November. The recovery in the UK economy is expected to continue but the large fall in output during the recession means that some spare capacity is likely to remain for some time. Although the outlook for growth is highly uncertain, it is considered that the

recovery in output is likely to be maintained, reflecting the stimulus to private demand from monetary policy, assisted by the strengthening of the global economy and the lower level of sterling. CPI inflation is likely to remain above the 2% target throughout 2011, reflecting the forthcoming increase in VAT and upward pressure from import price inflation. The Bank of England report assumes that quantitative easing remains at the £200 billion currently issued.

The key issue is to try to accurately predict the inflation trend during 2011/12. In order to do this, an analysis has been undertaken of the HM Treasury Forecasts for the UK economy, which offers a comparison of independent forecasts for a range of economic factors, including RPI and CPI. The forecasts, based on the December 2010 report, suggest the following inflation rates over the next year:-

	Lowest	Highest	Average
2010 (Quarter 4)	%	%	%
- CPI	2.6	3.4	3.0
- RPI	3.5	4.7	4.4
2011 (Quarter 4)			
- CPI	2.0	3.6	2.8
- RPI	2.4	4.6	3.5

- 2.7.2 Based on the average independent forecasts, the average inflation rate during 2011 is estimated to be around 2.9% for CPI and 3.9% for RPI. The worst case is expected to be around 3.5% for CPI and 4.7% for RPI.
- 2.8 <u>Treasury Management, Capital Financing and Investment Income:</u>
- 2.8.1 The assumptions relating to the revenue implications of the draft capital programme are informed by the latest information available on treasury management. This will be reviewed throughout the budget process
- 2.8.2 Borrowing, Investment and Debt Management Strategy
 On 9 December 2010, the Bank of England's Monetary Policy
 Committee (MPC) voted to maintain the official Bank Rate paid on
 commercial bank reserves at 0.5%. The Committee also voted to
 maintain the stock of asset purchases financed by the issuance of
 central bank reserves at £200 billion (Quantitative Easing).
 In light of the economic outlook, the MPC judged that maintaining
 the Bank Rate at 0.5% and maintaining quantitative easing at £200
 billion was appropriate to meet the 2% CPI inflation target over the
 medium term. However, because the prospects for inflation remain
 highly uncertain, the MPC have indicated that they are prepared to
 respond with changes to interest rates in either direction as the
 balance of risks evolves. However, over the remaining months of

this financial year it is not anticipated that there will be any significant change.

The short-term market, which influences investment return, remains restrained by a low Base Rate (0.5%), substantial market liquidity, and the prospect of slow economic recovery. Rates available in 2010/11 from appropriate credit worthy counterparties have ranged from near 0.2% to 0.5% for overnight to week deposits, to near 1.5% for one year. Efforts have been made to exploit the higher rates available for longer periods to the extent that liquidity and concern to be well-placed for 2011/12 investment allow.

Outlook for interest rates

The Centre for Economics and Business Research (CEBR) is forecasting that UK interest rates will stay at 0.5% into 2011 and not reach 2% until 2014. A comparison of independent forecasts for the UK economy produced by HM Treasury (December 2010) gives the following projections:-

	Lowest	Highest	Average
2010 (Quarter 4)	%	%	%
Bank Base Rate	0.0	0.8	0.5
2011 (Quarter 4)			
Bank Base Rate	0.5	2.0	0.9

Sector, in their December 2010 update, are forecasting the following trend for the Bank Base Rate over the next two years:-

Bank Rate	End Q4 2010	End Q1 2011	End Q2 2011	End Q3 2011	End Q4 2011	End Q1 2012	End Q2 2012	End Q3 2012
	2010	2011	2011	2011	2011	2012	2012	2012
Sector	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.75%	1.0%	1.25%	1.5%
Treasury								
Capital	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	N/A
Economics								
UBS	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.75%	1.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A

2.9 <u>Collection Fund</u>

- 2.9.1 Any surplus or deficit on the Collection Fund is shared between, or charged to, the Council and its preceptors in proportion to their demands upon the Collection Fund. The 2009/10 audited surplus is £5.999m and the estimated shares are £1.298m to the GLA and £4.701m to Merton.
- 2.9.2 The budget for 2010/11 included an amount of £2.341m to support the revenue budget, and £0.5m thereafter. Given the level of surplus as at 31st March 2010 and the Council's continuing good performance in collection of council tax it should be possible to

increase the level of annual contribution from the Collection Fund to support the revenue budget to £1m from 2012/13 onwards.

COLLECTION FUND	2010/11 £m
Surplus 31/03/2010 <u>Less</u>	4.701
Budget 2010/11	-2.341
MTFS 2011/12	-0.500
Estimated Balance	1.860

Any use of the estimated balance in 2011/12 should be treated as a one-off.

For example, the balance could be utilised as follows:-

2011/12	£1.360m
2012/13	£0.500m

2.10 Council Tax Base

A report is going to Cabinet on 17 January which sets out details relating to the Council Tax Base for 2011/12. The Council Tax Base for 2011/12 is 74,485.9 compared with 74,250.1 for 2010/11. The additional yield from Council Tax in 2011/12 arising from the increase in council tax base is approximately £0.260m. The MTFS included an amount of £0.400m, therefore a shortfall of £0.140m which it is proposed is funded by a reduction in the contingency.

2.11 Single Status

There have been some further settlements in 2010/11. The budget in 2010/11 is £0.628m. This area is currently being reviewed to ascertain whether any or all of the remaining provision will be required in 2011/12.

2.12 LAA Performance Reward Grant

A claim has been submitted for Performance Reward Grant (PRG) of £1.703m and this is expected to be paid as 50:50 revenue and capital grant. No expenditure commitments have been made against this and the Chief Executive will be meeting with Merton Partnership to discuss how it will be utilised, as per their previous agreement.

2.13 <u>Contingency</u>

2.13.1 The budget approved for 2010/11 includes provision of £2.9m as a contingency to meet unforeseen cost and demand pressures

particularly those arising as the economy recovers from the recession.

As a result of various changes during 2010/11 arising from monitoring procedures, there have been virements from other budgets into the contingency:-

	£m
Approved contingency 2010/11	2.900
Free Personal Care at Home Bill – now scrapped	0.380
Pay inflation clawback	0.810
P3/P4 – Parking income adjustment	0.470
Bulky waste (one-off contribution)	(0.044)
Latest contingency (December 2010)	4.516

It was recognised that the contingency needed to be temporarily increased as reported to Cabinet previously on 18 January 2010 and 22 February 2010, in order to provide cover for spending pressures within Children, Schools and Families and income shortfalls in Environment and Regeneration and potential budget pressures which could not be recognised with certainty in terms of magnitude and timing.

- 2.13.2 In paragraph 3.4 and Appendix 6, the proposed growth includes amounts for increases in CSF budgets for Children's Social Care staffing and placements totalling £1.1m and also to address the rebasing of E&R income totalling £1.5m which has been a budgetary pressure throughout 2010/11 and is expected to continue. Accordingly, it is proposed to reduce the contingency budget in 2011/12 by £2.6m to fund this essential growth.
- 2.13.3 The change in the change would be as summarised below:-

	£m
Ongoing adjusted contingency c/f	4.560
Less:	
Earmarked for potential increase in CSF costs	(1.100)
Earmarked to address E&R funding shortfall	(1.500)
Council Tax Base – adjustment in estimated yield	(0.140)
Contingency remaining for other pressures	1.820

2.14 Pension Fund Actuarial Review

Barnett Waddingham, the Council's actuaries are carrying out an actuarial valuation of the London Borough of Merton Pension Fund ("the Fund") as at 31 March 2010. The valuation is being carried out in accordance with Regulation 77 of the Local Government

Pension Scheme Regulations 1997 ("the Regulations") as amended. When the actuaries final report is produced further details will be provided. Based on discussions so far, the information is as follows:-

a) Past Service Deficiency

The current budgetary contribution is based on a 12 year recovery period The expected results of the formal valuation indicate that the assets of the Fund currently represent approximately 83% of the accrued liabilities of the Fund. This has decreased from 90% as at 31 March 2007.

Clearly, the bigger the annual contribution that the Council can afford to make, the sooner the deficit will be eliminated. At the same time, it is recognised that as a result of the Hutton Review, there are likely to be changes to the Local Government Pension Scheme which will reduce the employers' liability and therefore contribution levels but from which year is not yet clear. Also, the Council has a significant budget gap to address. The MTFS includes provision within the inflation amount for the additional employers contributions arising from early retirements as well as the lump sum contribution arising from the 2007 valuation.

The budget for 2010/11 is £5.386m and £0.463m is recharged to schools for their element, giving a net budget of £4.923m. The MTFS includes £0.834m uplift in 2011/12 to give a base budget for 2011/12 of £6.220m less schools recharge of £0.463m giving a net base budget in 2011/12 of £5.757m, assuming no change in the schools recharge.

The actuaries assessment is that, in order to clear the past service deficiency over 15 years, three years longer than currently provided for, and providing for additional contributions to address in-year early retirements, the estimated financial implications, compared with budget will be:-

Based on 15 years				New
(previously 12 years)				Revaluation
	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Lump sum	4,800	5,000	5,250	6,450
Additional employers	400	800	1,200	200
contributions arising				
from early retirements				
Total	5,200	5,800	6,450	6,650
Base Budget (MTFS)	6,220	7,020	7,820	8,620
Surplus	1,020	1,220	1,370	1,970

This indicates that the council could opt for higher annual contributions to address the past service deficiency over a shorter period or release some budget to reduce the budget gap. However, it would be advisable to review this annually as the budget for additional employers contributions arising from early retirements is subject to fluctuation and difficult to forecast with accuracy. Discussions with the actuary indicate that they would be prepared to consider a 15 year repayment period to make good the deficit.

b) <u>Future Service Employer Contributions</u>

The actuary advises that employer contributions in respect of future service should be held at 14.1% of payroll costs which is the same as the current level.

2.15 Review of Reserves

There are two reserves within the Council's accounts as at 31st March 2010 which have currently been identified as being available for release. These are

	£m
VAT Reserve	0.724
Section 117	0.100

2.16 Summary of Corporate and Technical Adjustments

2.16.1 The corporate and technical adjustments which are included in the MTFS and discussed in this report will continue to be reviewed as the budget process proceeds and final updates provided to the 14 February 2011 Cabinet.

2.17 Review of 2010/11 Revenue Forecast

2.17.1 The latest budgetary control information on revenue expenditure and income based on spend to the end of November, shows a forecast underspend of £8.672m at the year end, of which £4.275m relates to the revenue effects of the capital programme and will be re-invested in the capital programme in 2011/12.

The current estimated balance of £4.397m would increase General Fund balances and therefore be available to reduce the gap but only as a one-off contribution in 2011/12 and would need to be replaced in future years. An update will be reported to 14 February Cabinet, subject to any further call in relation to redundancy costs.

3. UPDATE/REVIEW OF DEPARTMENTAL SAVINGS AND GROWTH

3.1 Savings agreed by Cabinet on 13 December 2010 and subject to scrutiny totalled £12.794m in 2011/12 and are summarised in paragraph 2.5.1 (a).

3.2 Officers have continued to review their budgets in order to find additional savings to reduce the gap. Additional savings have been identified and details are provided in Appendix 5 and these are summarised in the following tables:-

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Phase 3 SAVINGS – Non ABG				
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Chief Executive's	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Corporate Services	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Children, Schools and Families	0.141	0.000	0.000	0.000
Environment and Regeneration	0.330	0.110	0.000	0.000
Community and Housing	0.709	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL	1.180	0.110	0.000	0.000

Phase 3 SAVINGS – ABG	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Chief Executive's	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Corporate Services	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Children, Schools and Families	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Environment and Regeneration	0.022	0.000	0.000	0.000
Community and Housing	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL	0.022	0.000	0.000	0.000

Phase 3 SAVINGS – All Savings	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Chief Executive's	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Corporate Services	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Children, Schools and Families	0.141	0.000	0.000	0.000
Environment and Regeneration	0.352	0.110	0.000	0.000
Community and Housing	0.709	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL	1.202	0.110	0.000	0.000

The decision in relation to one of the items previously held in abeyance by Cabinet on 8 November and 13 December 2010, i.e. CSF15 Merton Music Foundation, has been deferred. Any decision in relation to this will be reported to the Scrutiny Panels and the Overview and Scrutiny Commission on 9 February 2011.

3.4 If the savings put forward in Phase 3 are agreed, the total savings in 2011/12 compared against the targets set would be as summarised in the following tables:-

a) General Fund Savings: Non ABG

	SAVINGS TARGET	Reported to Cabinet 13 th December	Savings	, ,
	2011/12			2011/12
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's	387	} 3,581	0	} (163)
Corporate Services	3,031	}		}
Environmental Services	4,702	4,254	330	118
Children, Schools and Families	1,422	1,209	141	72
Community and Housing	4,458	3,750	709	(1)
Total	14,000	12,794	1,180	26

b) General Fund Savings: ABG

		Reported to	Additional	SHORTFALL/
		Cabinet 13 th		(SURPLUS
		December	identified	
	ABG		(Phase 3)	
	LOSS			
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's	66	66	0	0
Corporate Services	0	0	0	0
Environmental Services	22	0	22	0
Children, Schools and Families	919	909	0	10
Community and Housing	106	106	0	0
Total	1,113	1,081	22	10

3.4.1 For non-ABG savings, the revised mix of deliverable and political risk of the savings proposals, as previously presented to Cabinet on 13 December 2010 plus those additional draft proposals now presented are set out in the following chart, i.e. those savings where there is deemed to be a high reputational impact and a high risk of non-deliverability are 11.75%, or £1.642m by value, of the total gross savings.

DELIVERABILITY RISK

ĺ			
High	4.51%	2.86%	11.75%
	1.4% 2010/11)	(10.1% 2010/11)	(0.4% 2010/11)
Medium	8.13%	31.05%	13.62%
	(9.1% 2010/11)	(27.1% 2010/11)	(2.8% 2010/11)
Low	13.11%	8.53%	6.44%
	(28.8% 2010/11)	(17.0% 2010/11)	(3.3% 2010/11)
	Low	Medium	High

REPUTATIONAL RISK

The savings have also been analysed by type and this is set out in Appendix 5.

3.5 <u>Update/Review of Growth</u>

3.5.1 Growth proposals totalling £0.474m were recommended by Cabinet on 13 December 2010 and the amount of growth included in the MTFS was:-

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Chief Executive's }				
Corporate Services }	0.180	0.250	0.000	0.000
Environmental Services	0.221	0.089	0.000	0.000
Children, Schools and Families	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Community and Housing	0.073	0.000	0.000	0.000
Total	0.474	0.339	0.000	0.000
Add: Growth block sum not yet used	3.024	0	0	0
Growth included in MTFS (Cabinet 13/12/10)	3.498	0.339	0.000	0.000

3.5.2 Additional growth proposals have been identified and details are set out in Appendix 6. A summary is included in the following table:-

New Growth proposals	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Chief Executive's	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Corporate Services	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Children, Schools and Families *	1.100	0.000	0.000	0.000
Environment and Regeneration *	1.500	0.000	0.000	0.000
Community and Housing	0.028	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL	2.628	0.000	0.000	0.000

^{*}To be funded by a reduction in the contingency

3.5.3 If the growth put forward in Round 3 is agreed, the total growth would be as summarised in the following table:-

Total Growth proposals	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Chief Executive's }				
Corporate Services }	0.180	0.250	0.000	0.000
Children, Schools and Families	1.100	0.000	0.000	0.000
Environment and Regeneration	1.721	0.089	0.000	0.000
Community and Housing	0.101	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL	3.102	0.339	0.000	0.000

The draft growth proposals have also been analysed by type and this is set out in Appendix 6.

3.6 Revised Budget Gap

3.6.1 Updating the MTFS for the implications arising from the Provisional Settlement and the growth and savings in this report means that the budget gap is as follows:-

	Forecast		Forecast		Cumulative £m
	2011/12 £m	2012/13 £m	2013/14 £m	2014/15 £m	٤١١١
Gap (after savings/income and growth) & four year cumulative total	23.673	18.407	14.713		70.557
Draft Savings Proposals (Phases 1 and 2) Growth (Phases 1&2)	-12.794 0.253	-0.058 0.339	-0.015 0.000	0.000 0.000	-12.867 0.592
Revised Budget Gap to Cabinet 13 th December	11.132	18.688	14.698	13.764	58.282
Changes in Net Expenditure since Cabinet (13 Dec.) a) Reduction in contingency to fund growth b) Additional growth 2011/12 c) Remove block sum for growth not utilised	-2.740 2.628 -3.024				-2.740 2.628 -3.024
d) Additional savings 2011/12 e) Adjustment to reflect difference in grant loss	-1.180 2.719	-0.110 -0.844	-1.875	0.000	-1.290 0.000
f) Adjustment to reflect actual Council Tax Base Sub-total: Changes since Cabinet 13 December	0.140 -1.457	-0.954	1.875	0.000	0.140 -4.358
Revised Gap including changes	9.675	17.734	12.823	13.764	53.924
Council Tax Freeze Grant * Departmental Share of Grants rolled into Formula Grant	-2.060 -1.274	-2.060 -0.830	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000	-4.120 -2.104
Revised Gap	6.341	14.844	12.823	13.764	47.644

^{*} The figure quoted in the Local Government Finance Settlement of £2.088m was an estimate and based on Merton's proposed Council Tax Base, an increase in Council Tax of 2.5% equates to £2.060m

3.6.2 Balancing the budget

As indicated in this report, there are options available to set a balanced budget in 2011/12 but some are one-offs and a long term solution will be required. One scenario to narrow the gap is as follows:-

	2011/12	2012/13
	£m	£m
Revised Gap	6.341	14.844
Less:		
Pension Fund – Actuarial Review	(1.020)	(0.200)
Other Reserves	(0.824)	0.824
Use of Collection Fund	(1.360)	0.860
Revised Gap	3.137	16.328

There is also the issue that, with the uncertainty of the future of some of the grants, it is therefore not possible or advisable to set a balanced budget at this stage as the gap could increase if some grants are not forthcoming, leaving very little time to address the implications that this produces.

3.6.3 Council Tax Freeze Grant

The Government has set aside £650 million to ensure that every council can freeze council tax in 2011/12, without a knock on effect

in local services. Instead, the Government will provide councils that choose to freeze council tax with the equivalent of a 2.5% increase in funding. The Government also wants to protect council taxpayers from authorities which choose to reject the offer and impose excessive council tax rises. Through the Localism Bill, the Government plans to introduce a power for residents to veto excessive council tax increases through a referendum. In the meantime, the Government can take capping action against councils who propose excessive rises.

The broad intention is that a local authority that freezes or reduces its Band D council tax in 2011-12 will receive an additional grant equivalent to them having set a 2.5 per cent increase from their 2010-11 level. Specifically, the scheme refers to Band D council tax as an authority's basic amount of council tax.

Merton's average Band D for the area in 2010/11 is £1,106.57. A 2.5% increase in this would be £27.66 and the yield based on Merton's Council Tax Base for 2011/12 of 74,485.9 would be £2.060m.

Special expenses

All special items (other than parish precepts) are included in an authority's basic amount of council tax. Therefore, the average of all an authority's special items (including its special expenses) over the whole of its area is the amount that will be used to judge eligibility for the grant.

Any proposed council tax increase by Wimbledon and Putney Conservators would therefore be taken into account in assessing whether Merton is eligible for Council Tax Freeze Grant. Levies that the Council pays to Lee Valley, the London Pension Fund Authority and the Environment Agency are not taken into account.

Any grant paid to an authority for freezing or reducing its council tax in 2011-12 will be matched exactly in each subsequent year of the Spending Review to compensate for the income foregone for a freeze. Authorities will not have to continue to freeze or reduce their council tax from 2012-13 to continue to receive this grant, however, we have only received confirmation of the grant for 2011/12 and 2012/13 as it is a two-year settlement.

3.6.4 Capping of Council Tax increases

Capping rules may restrict the Council's scope to set council tax levels to cover for the loss of grant in future years.

When announcing the Provisional Settlement on 13 December 2010, the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, Eric Pickles, stated that "Government also want to ensure that council tax payers are protected against authorities that

reject the offer (of Council Tax Freeze Grant) and impose excessive council tax rises. We will introduce powers for residents to veto excessive council tax increases through a local referendum. In the meantime, the Government will take capping action against councils that propose excessive rises. When the House debates the final local government finance report next year, I will set out the capping principles. I will also publish shortly details of the figures that will be used to compare authorities' budgets between years, should capping be necessary. The previous Government had planned to cap the police authorities of Greater Manchester and Nottinghamshire after they set excessive increases in 2010-11. Subject to challenge, we will ensure that, should they decide not to freeze the council tax, neither can impose an increase of over 2.5% in 2011-12." The inference may be that the capping limit will be set at 2.5%

4. UPDATE OF MTFS

- 4.1 If the MTFS is updated for the latest information set out in Sections 2 and 3, the position is as set out in paragraph 3.5 above. Due to the uncertainty surrounding some revenue grants, the final position cannot be reported at this stage. If less external funding is available this may increase further the remaining budget gap. It is hoped that a final position on the grants can be reported to Cabinet on 14 February.
- 4.2 Given the remaining uncertainty surrounding grants and the complexity of how this information impacts on both service budgets and corporate budgets, it is not proposed to produce detailed budget summaries at this stage. Detailed budget summaries will be provided as part of the budget report to Cabinet on 14 February, which it is envisaged will demonstrate a balanced budget.

5. SCHOOLS BUDGETS: FUNDED BY DEDICATED SCHOOLS GRANT

5.1 Currently the Children, Schools and Families Department (CSFD) has a gross revenue expenditure of £175.2 million. This expenditure is funded as follows:

C ---:II: - --

Specific/Revenue Grants* Revenue Support Grant (RSG) Client Income	£ million 137.0 36.2 2.0
Total Income	175.2

^{*} Comprises 95 Individual Grants

5.2 The Department for Education (DfE) is making significant changes to the grant funding regime which will impact significantly on the operation of the CSFD. This will result in the current complex grant

regime being reduced to four main grants, this is summarised in the following table

Grant	2010-11	Reduction	Reduction	2011-12
	£M	£M	%	£M
RSG (5 Grants)	36.22	(0.15)	(0.4)	36.07
Dedicated Schools Grant (17 Grants)	120.59	0	0.0	120.59
Early Intervention & Prevention (22	8.31	(0.71)	(8.5)	7.60
Grants)				
Young Peoples Learning Agency (4	4.45	?	?	4.45
Grants)				
Sub-total: Four main Grants	169.57	(0.86)	(0.5)	168.71
Grants Ending (31 Grants)	2.51	(2.51)	100.0	0
Outstanding Grants (16 Grants)	1.08	?	?	1.08
Total	173.16	(3.37)	1.9	169.79

- 5.3 Non-Dedicated Schools Grant: Officers are currently working through the impact of these funding changes and are compiling budgets based on delivering core statutory services. The Early Intervention and Prevention Grant comprises key children's services such as Early Years and Respite Support for Disabled Children, unringfencing this grant allows local discretion in it deployment on services.
- Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG): The DSG is triggered based on the numbers of pupils registered as part of the annual January census. In 2010-11Merton triggered £4,801.35 for each pupil registered. The additional grants have increased this per pupil funding by £623.22 to £5,424.57. Central Government have protected schools funding maintaining funding levels from 2010-11 to 2011-12. Merton faces acute pressures on its DSG through the time lag in funding growing pupil numbers which is not triggered until 7 months after pupils attend school. In addition, it is envisaged that once the minimum funding guarantee has been met in respect of schools funding there will be little or no money available to meet Special Educational Need pressures.
- Given the loss of central education grants officers will work in partnership with the schools Forum to see whether there is any capacity to use elements of school related grants to support our capacity to support core school improvement and narrowing the gap work. Should it not be possible to identify sufficient resource from the DSG (due to acute pressures) then a general fund bid will need to be submitted. Officers are currently identifying the minimum funding required.

5.6 **Schools Forum**

The Local Authority (LA) is required to consult the schools forum and take into account its views before the formula is finalised and school budget shares determined for 2011-2012. In addition the LA must seek the forums approval to any relaxation of the central expenditure limit within the DSG. The forum can request that schools be consulted on any of the issues put before it in order that a wider range of schools views can be taken into account in formulating decisions.

6. HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT

6.1 The account will be closed after April 2011 subject to the Secretary of State's approval and no recognition of potential transfers of final balances has been taken into account at this stage, due to the uncertainty of the timing and final amounts left in the account.

7. BALANCES AND RESERVES

7.1 Revenue Reserves

The Council's General Fund balances were £10.649m as at 31st March 2010. The figure of £10.649m includes a sum of £0.4m of unspent Area Based Grant (ABG) at 31st March 2010, which has now been earmarked in 2010/11 to meet outstanding obligations arising from the reduction in government grant funding of ABG.

- 7.2 Aside from the ABG element, there was no planned addition to or use of this in 2010/11. It is proposed to present a report on the Council's balances and reserves to the Overview and Scrutiny Commission on 19th January 2011.
- 7.3 As indicated in the report to Cabinet on 13th December 2010, there may be a potential need to apply some General Fund balances to fund redundancy costs.
- 7.4 A review of other reserves has indicated some resources available for release and these are detailed in paragraph 2.15.

8. GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY PRECEPT AND OTHER LEVIES

8.1 GLA – currently projecting no change in precept but their final budget will not be set until 23 February 2011.

8.2 Other Levies

The Council is required by statute to pay certain levies to the organisations listed as follows:-

- London Pension Fund Authority –
- Lee Valley Regional Park
- Environment Agency

8.3 Details of the levies will not be known until late January/early February.

8.4 Wimbledon and Putney Commons Conservators (WPCC)

A separate precept is levied in respect of those residents of properties bordering the Wimbledon and Putney Commons.

Details of the WPCC precept will not be known until January 2011.

9. WORKFORCE IMPLICATIONS

- 9.1 The Council has received approval to a capitalisation direction of £0.477m in respect of statutory redundancy payments. The direction represents 38% of the amount requested which has been scaled back following a high level of demand from authorities.
- 9.2 The current estimated numbers of staff vulnerable to redundancy, broken down by department and projected forward in three time tranches, is set out in the following table.

Estimates of Staff vulnerable to redundancy as at 11 January 2011

	By 31/3/11	By 31/7/11	Beyond 31/7/11	Total
CSF	47	TBC	TBC	47
CS/CE/				
Transformation	35	15	TBC	50
E&R	58	8	4	70
C&H	43	TBC	TBC	43
Total	183	23	4	210

- 9.3 It should be noted that these figures will be subject to constant change as there are a number of variables such as: redeployment, bumped redundancy, new posts identified as vulnerable to redundancy, slotting in/ring-fencing, etc
- 9.4 HR Managers are updating the data on a regular basis as the situation evolves. We are also keeping staff side colleagues informed through regular monthly consultation meetings.
- 9.5 Based on an average cost of £23,000 per redundancy, the costs in each period and in total are as follows:-

	By 31/3/11	By 31/7/11	Beyond 31/7/11	Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Redundancy costs	4.209	0.529	0.092	4.830

These will be funded through corporate budgets, capitalisation, the OCPB reserve and General Fund balances as necessary.

10. CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2011-15

10.1 The draft Capital Programme 2011/15 is attached for consideration. As previously reported, the major item in the programme is the issue of addressing increasing pupil numbers. The figures in the programme are based on the lower cost option. This item accounts for the majority of the increases in Children, Schools and Families of:-

2011/12 £1.1m
2012/13 £22.1m
2013/14 £10.9m
2014/15 £2.9m

Other departments' increases in these years are:

• 2011/12 £4.9m (includes TFL)

2012/13 £1.0m
2013/14 £0.4m
2014/15 £0.4m

10.2 **Savings on hold**

The schemes relating to the potential savings placed on hold within Environment and Regeneration, as agreed at Council on the 24th November, to fund the proposed wheeled bin scheme have been retained within the draft Capital Programme and have subsequently been reprofiled to 2011/12 as the outcome of the Scrutiny Review will not be until during 2011/12, No provision has been made within the Capital Programme for the wheeled bin scheme at this stage pending the outcome of the Scrutiny Review.

10.3 Additional Grants

Since the last report to Cabinet, the **Schools Capital Grant Allocations** for Local Authorities 2011-12 have been announced. The total allocation for the amount that the authority can directly spend is £8.598m. The Department for Education indicates that in future years "while the allocation and management for these programmes may change to reflect the recommendations of the (capital) review, it is expected that the funding available for basic need and capital maintenance of schools will be roughly in line with the funding for 2011-12". This does not necessarily mean that in 2012/13 onwards Merton's capital grant funding will be at the same figure as in 2011/12, but it is reasonable to assume an amount of £8.5m for the remainder of the programme until further clarification is received.

- The devolved capital allocations to schools in respect of buildings, ICT and other capital needs have been significantly reduced, with the total allocation for non-VA Merton maintained schools being only £364,165. In the last few years an average 420 place primary school would have received approximately £44k, and a 1200 place secondary school £120k. Now they will receive approximately just £9.5k and £27k respectively. This will reduce schools spending power for buildings and IT, but also put more pressure on our schools capital maintenance programme as we won't be able to keep our joint funding arrangements as they are for such items currently we don't consider anything less than £20k and then expect a significant contribution from the school.
- Since the last report to Cabinet the Mayor of London has announced the **TFL grant allocations** for 2011/12. Merton will receive £3.516m in total and this has now been included in the draft Capital Programme. The three main elements are as follows:
 - In respect of the LIP allocation (Corridors, Neighbourhoods and Supporting Measures programmes) the settlement equates to an overall reduction of 4% in 2011-12, a further 5% in 2012/13 and a further 12% in 2013/14. This represents a 21% reduction in Merton's LIP funding in 2013/14 compared to the original allocation for 2011/12. The LIP in 2011/12 is £1.851m
 - However, Merton has received additional "major scheme" funding for the Destination Wimbledon project to the value of £1.151m for 2011/12.
 - The element for Principal Road Maintenance is frozen at the level of the previous year of £414,000
- Since the last report to Cabinet the DCLG has announced the Capitalisation Directions 2010-11 in respect of statutory redundancy payments. All councils received substantially less than they had bid for and Merton's Capitalisation Direction was for £0.477m. The budgets in the Capital Programme for 2010/11 and 2011/12 were £1m in both years. These budgets have therefore been reduced to £0.477m and £0.400m in 2010/11 and 2011/12 respectively.

10.7 Effects on Revenue

Based on the current capital financing revenue budgets in the MTFS, the draft programme is affordable in the next two financial years (2011/12 and 2012/13) providing the revenue surpluses from 2010/11 and 2011/12 are reinvested. In the final two years of the

programme (2013/14 and 2014/15) the capital financing revenue budgets are increasingly in deficit. In the final year of the programme, 2014/15, the increase in deficit appears to be declining. However, inevitably as time moves on, new schemes will be recognised that need to be addressed in that year, specifically the contract currently being negotiated by the South London Waste Partnership will have an impact in that year, although its significance cannot yet be quantified. The full impact of capital grants are taken account of in the forecast revenue budgets. If a grant is not yet clarified then the capital expenditure in respect of that grant is also excluded, so that the net effect is £nil. The calculations do not include the use of any capital receipts.

Draft Revenue Effects of Draft Capital Programme

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Revenue Effects	18,109	22,612	26,627	27,779
Budget allowed in MTFS	20,607	23,107	24,927	25,796
Surplus	* (2,498)	(495)		
Deficit			1,700	1,983

^{*} Due to slippage in the current programme.

The rapidly increasing deficit occurs because the main impact of capital spending is in the year following the capital spend. Based on an even capital spend throughout the financial year, in the first year of capital spend there is only half a year's interest charged to the revenue account, but in subsequent years the full year's impact (a full years interest and principal repayment) take effect. This is effectively a 2.5% charge in the first year and a 10.5% charge in the years thereafter. (10.5% is an average rate and will vary dependent upon asset life, but is based on the average rate in 2009/10)

10.9 Use of Cash Balances

It should be noted that borrowing may be either from external sources e.g. banks, or from internal sources e.g. earmarked reserves set aside for a particular liability which is not immediately required such as the Insurance Reserve. This internal borrowing would have to be repaid when the Insurance Reserve balance is used to support revenue items. The repayment would effectively be by external borrowing from the PWLB to replace the internal borrowing. The basis principle is that internal borrowing always eventually becomes external borrowing. Therefore the "Underlying debt" shown in the next table is both internal and external borrowing.

- 10.10 In 2010/11 no external borrowing has been undertaken to date. It is projected that all borrowing in 2010/11 will be internal but that it will be repaid and replaced by external borrowing commencing in 2011/12 and completing in 2012/13.
- 10.11 A requirement of the Local Authority Prudential Code is that financial reports should clearly show the forecast "Underlying debt" of the authority as well as the authority's external debt. This is because the temporary use of cash balances gives a misleading picture of the liabilities of the authority.

The effect on the authority's **underlying borrowings** cumulatively of the draft capital programme would be as follows:

	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Underlying debt B/F		151	182	209	251	256
New borrowing less repayments		31	27	42	5	(8)
Underlying debt C/F	151	182	209	251	256	248

The effect on the authority's **external borrowings** cumulatively of the draft capital programme would be as follows:

	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
External debt B/F		131	131	186	237	242
New borrowing less repayments			55	51	5	(8)
External debt C/F	131	131	186	237	242	234

10.12 **Draft Prudential Indicators (Pls)**

The Prudential Indicators set out in the table below, look at the impact of the draft capital programme on council tax payers in absolute terms without considering the budgets allowed in the MTFS. The increase in 2011/12 over 2010/11 is slightly higher than that reported to the previous Cabinet. This is because of the revised lower forecast of 2010/11 due to the fact that it is now projected that all actual borrowing in 2010/11 will be internal.

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Increase in capital financing costs arising from the Capital Programme	4.277	4.503	4.015	1.152
Expressed in terms of:				
Effect on Band D Council Tax (£)	£57.42	£60.45	£53.90	£15.47
Effect on Band D Council Tax (%)	5.21%	5.48%	4.89%	1.40%
% of Council Tax spent on debt servicing	22.04%	27.52%	32.41%	33.81%
% of Revenue * Spending Power spent on debt servicing	10.70%	14.00%	16.49%	17.21%

Note: The increase in capital financing costs for 2011/12 set out above is the increase of the projected costs for 2011/12 over the latest estimated costs for 2010/11 as per CIPFA guidance.

10.13 **Review**

Although the draft capital programme would be affordable for 2011/12 and 2012/13, there will be an increasing problem of rising debt in future years. The review of the Capital Strategy will continue to ensure that the funding of the programme and the debt outstanding of the authority can be optimised.

10.14 **Appendices**

- Appendix 4(1) summarises all the financial years of the programme.
- Appendix 4 (2) sets out the build-up by borrowing and grants of each year of the programme.
- Appendix 4 (3) sets out all of the increases/decreases and their full year revenue effects.
- Appendix 4 (4) lists the departmental details of the draft programme.
- Appendix 4(5) lists the amendments which recognise reduction in costs.

^{*} This is not one of the CIPFA PIs but a useful guide to the amount of capital spent against the Revenue Spending Power newly defined in the local government finance settlement.

11. **ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

Council

14.

The Council has to set a balanced budget for 2011/12. During the budget process a wide range of savings, growth and funding strategies will be considered in order to set a balanced budget for 2011/12 and address the Council's business plan priorities.

12. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

There will be continue to be regular update reports throughout the process to keep Members and Chief Officers apprised of the latest position.

13. REMAINING PROCESS AND TIMETABLE

13.1 The key remaining dates in the timetable for the Budget and Business Planning process are:-

Scrutiny Panels

 Overview and Scrutiny Commission

 Scrutiny Panels

 January – February 2011

 Cabinet
 January – February 2011
 February 2011
 Tath February 2011

FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

14.1 Financial and resource implications are incorporated within the report. There are no property implications arising from this report.

15. **LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS**

15.1 The Director of Corporate Services, as Section 151 officer has a statutory responsibility to ensure the proper administration of the Council's financial affairs. This includes ensuring that a balanced budget is set. Relevant considerations relating to the budget are addressed in the report. Further work will be carried out as the budget and business planning processes move forward. In determining the budget the Council must have regard to all relevant considerations including all those statutory considerations which it is required by law to address when exercising its various functions.

16. HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

16.1 As a result of some savings proposals there will be staffing implications which may result in redeployment, redundancy or the deletion of vacant posts.

2nd March 2011

- In order that the Council fulfils its statutory obligation in a consistent way, guidance notes for managers have been produced for handling the change management processes, this includes redundancy consultation.
- 16.3 Equalities Impact Assessments for each phase 3 savings proposal are provided at Appendix 7.

17. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

17.1 All relevant implications arising from relevant savings proposals have been addressed in the report. Further work will be carried out as the budget and planning processes move forward.

18. RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

18.1 All relevant implications have been addressed in the report. Further work will be carried out as the budget and planning processes move forward.

19. APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

Appendix 1: Summary of Local Government Finance Settlement

Appendix 2: Summary of Formula Grant including rolled-in grants

Appendix 3: Summary of Ended Grants, Grants under review, and Grants not yet determined

Appendix 4: Capital Programme

Appendix 5: Phase 3 Savings proposals

Appendix 6: Phase 3 Growth proposals

Appendix 7: Equalities Impact Assessments for Phase 3 savings

BACKGROUND PAPERS

Previous reports to Cabinet Budget files in Corporate Services department

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE SETTLEMENT 2011-13

Summary of General Fund Impact (excluding Schools)

The 2011-12 and 2012-13 Provisional Local Government Finance Settlement wasannounced on 13 December 2010. It provides provisional allocations to local authorities for 2011/12 and 2012/13 based on the headline totals published as part of the Spending Review in October 2010. Previously, revenue grant funding to local authorities consisted of three main elements:-

- Formula Grant (Revenue Support Grant + Share of Business Rates pool)
- Area Based Grants and other non-ring-fenced grants
- Specific and Special Grants ringfenced

The Settlement confirmed that many of the existing grants will be rolled into formula grant, some will remain as core revenue grants, some have ended. There is still currently uncertainty with respect to a number of grants and more details are being released on a daily basis.

Year on Year Changes in Formula Grant:

	2011/12	2012/13
	%	%
England	-9.9	-7.3
London boroughs	-11.3	-7.6
Inner London	-11.2	-7.4
Outer London	-11.3	-7.9
GLA	-4.9	-5.9
Merton	-13.3	-9.4

Changes to Aggregate External Finance

Total funding for local authorities will be worth £30.0bn in 2011-12, excluding schools, PFI and police funding (outside of formula grant). Formula grant funding, nationally, will be worth £24.9bn, accounting for 83% of this total. Merton's revenue grants are included in the following table:-

Merton Revenue Grant	2011/12	%
	allocation	
	£m	
Formula Grant	66.617	76.5
Early intervention Grant	7.600	8.7
Learning Disability and Health Reform	6.653	7.6
Council Tax Freeze Grant	2.088	2.4
Housing and Council Tax Benefit Subsidy Admin	1.597	1.8
NHS Funding to Support Social Care and Benefit	2.052	2.4
Health		
Preventing Homelessness	0.500	0.6
Total	87.107	100.0

32

FUNDING PROTECTION AND FLOORS

There will be two forms of funding protection from 2011-12 onwards: floor damping and the Transition Grant.

Floor damping - As in previous years, a funding "floor" will be applied to each authority to minimise year-on year changes in its level of cash grant. In 2011-12 floors will be set at a negative level for the first time, guaranteeing each authority a maximum decrease in funding (rather than a minimum increase, as has previously been the case). A new floor system is proposed which reflects the extent to which authorities rely on formula grant. Each authority's budget requirement is financed either by formula grant or council tax –"grant dependency" is defined as the proportion of each authority's 2010/11 budget requirement that was funded through the 2010/11 formula grant. For social service authorities, councils are grouped into four floor bands according to their level of grant dependency:-

Floor Band	2011-12 floor	2012-13 floor	No. of London
			Authorities
Band 1 (most dependent)	-11.3%	-7.4%	17
Band 2	-12.3%	-8.4%	3
Band 3 (Merton's Band)	-13.3%	-9.4%	9
Band 4 (least dependent)	-14.3%	-10.4%	4

Merton is in Band 3.

 Transition grant - An additional revenue grant (Transition Grant) will be paid to those local authorities who would otherwise see a reduction in their 'revenue spending power' of more than 8.9% in either 2011-12 or 2012-13.
 The grant will be worth £85m in 2011-12 and £14m in 2012-13.

IMPACT ON MERTON

The DCLG have presented the figures for authorities in terms of Revenue Spending Power. Revenue Spending Power is defined as the aggregate of council tax, formula grant, other specific grants and NHS funding for social care. To ensure greater certainty in funding the data used to calculate this grant will be based on figures as at the provisional finance settlement. Changes made after this date will not be included.

CALCULATION OF REVENUE SPENDING POWER

	2010/11 £m	2011/12 £m	Change £m	Change %	2011/12 Adjusted £m	2012/13 £m	Change £m	Change %
Council Tax requirement	82.163	82.163	0	0	82.163	82.163	0	0
Formula Grant	67.733	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.182	59.961	-6.221	-9.40
Rolled –in grants	9.103	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sub-total: Formula Grant	76.836	66.617	10.219	-13.30	66.182	59.961	-6.221	-9.40
Specific & Special Grants	17.030	20.490	3.460	20.32	18.893	19.332	0.439	2.32
Sub-total: Grants	93.866	87.107	-6.759	-7.20	85.075	79.293	-5.732	-6.8
Estimated Revenue Spending Power	176.029	169.270	-6.759	-3.84	167.238	161.456	-5.782	-3.46%

Reduction in Formula Grant

Taking into account the rolled-in grants, the reduction in formula grant from 2010/11 to 2011/12 is £10.219m, (-13.3%) and from 2011/12 to 2012/13 is £6.221m (-9.4%). In order to allocate this reduction, the simplest and fairest method is to reduce each element pro rata. This is set out in the following table:-

Grant	2010/11 Provisional Settlement GF £m	2011/12 GF <u>£m</u>	Change 2011/12 <u>£m</u>	2012/13 GF <u>£m</u>	Change 2012/13 <u>£m</u>
Formula Grant (Actual 2010/11)	67.733	58.788	(8.945)	52.962	(5.826)
Rolled-in Grants:					
Corporate Services	0.123	0.106	-0.016	0.096	-0.011
Children Schools & Families	0.660	0.512	-0.148	0.415	-0.096
Environment and Regeneration	-0.016	-0.025	-0.009	-0.030	-0.006
Community & Housing	8.336	7.236	-1.101	6.518	-0.717
Sub-total: Rolled-in Grants	9.103	7.829	-1.274	6.999	-0.830
Total	76.836	66.617	-10.219	59.961	-6.656

A detailed analysis of these changes is set out in Appendix 2.

Specific and Special Grants

Changes in specific and special grants are treated differently. It is assumed that changes in these are earmarked for specific purposes, so any increase/decrease in grant is matched by a corresponding increase/decrease in expenditure so that there is no overall change in the budget gap.

	2010/11 GF <u>£m</u>	2011/12 GF <u>£m</u>	Change 2011/12 <u>£m</u>	2012/13 GF <u>£m</u>	Change 2012/13 <u>£m</u>
Learning Disability (2010/11 Adjusted)	6.520	6.653	0.133	6.810	0.157
Early Years Intervention Grant (2010/11 adjusted)	8.301	7.600	-0.701	8.069	0.469
Migration Impact Fund (2010/11)	0.079	0.000	-0.079	0.000	0.000
Preventing Violent Extremism	0.160	0.000	-0.160	0.000	0.000
Housing Benefit & Council Tax Benefit Admin Subsidy	1.566	1.597	0.031	TBA	TBA
Preventing Homelessness (2010/11 adjusted)	0.403	0.500	0.097	0.403	-0.097
Indicative Council Tax Freeze Grant	0.000	2.088	2.088	2.088	0.000
NHS funding to Support Social Care Benefit Health	0.000	2.052	2.052	1.962	-0.090
Total	17.030	20.490	3.460	ТВА	ТВА

Indicative Council Tax Freeze Grant

The Government have included an amount of grant within the Provisional Settlement on the assumption that local authorities do not propose a council tax increase. If this is the case, the grant will be available to fund expenditure/reduce the budget gap.

Remaining Uncertainty

There is still a lot of work to be done to fully analyse the Provisional Settlement and some key information has still not been announced.

- PFI grant Merton's budget = £9.353m
- Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit Admin. Subsidy the grant allocation for 2012/13 will not be announced until Autumn 2011.

One aspect of the Provisional Settlement that will lead to potential difficulties is the fact that a number of funding streams have been ended or have yet to be confirmed. Analysis of the implications for services of these is continuing,

The grants that have ended are set out in Appendix 3.

In addition, there may be some sources of funding that services receive via other agencies which will be discontinued as a result of funding cuts in those areas. (Appendix 3 refers)

Capital Funding

Capital funding to local authorities will be by way of capital grant from 2011/12. There will be no supported borrowing allocations from 2011/12 onwards.

No details are yet available from the DCLG but information has been released on Schools Capital via the Department for Education.

Schools capital allocations for 2011-12

On 13 December 2010, the Secretary of State announced details of allocation of over £2 billion of capital funding for 2011-12 to schools and local authorities.

The announcement included details of allocation of:

- £800 million of basic need funding to local authorities to provide school places where needed in their area, in all categories of taxpayer-funded schools
- £858 million of maintenance capital to local authorities to support the needs of the schools that they maintain and for the Sure Start children's centres in their area
- £196 million of locally-coordinated VA programme capital to support the maintenance capital needs of voluntary-aided schools
- £185 million of devolved formula capital for schools.

For Merton,

In summary we have received the following for 2011/12:

- £6.087 million in basic need
- £2.511 million in capital maintenance (previously labelled 'modernisation')
- = £8.6 million total

£0.962m has been allocated for Voluntary Aided schools maintenance – Merton officers co-ordinate this but it doesn't go through the council.

With regards to future years the DfE state "Allocations for 2012-13 until 2014-15 will be informed by the outcome of the capital review, which will be published in early 2011. While the allocation and management for these programmes may change to reflect the recommendations of the review, it is expected that the funding available for basic need and capital maintenance of schools will be roughly in line with the funding for 2011-12"

The devolved capital allocations to schools have been cut significantly, with the total allocation for non-VA Merton maintained schools being only £364,165. In the last few years an average 420 place primary school would have received approximately £44k, and a 1200 place secondary school £120k. Now they will receive approximately just £9.5k and £27k respectively. This will reduce schools spending power for buildings and IT, but also put more pressure on Merton's schools capital maintenance programme as the Council will no longer be able to keep joint funding arrangements as they are for such items - currently Merton does not consider anything under £20k and then expects a significant contribution from the school

APPENDIX 2 FORMULA GRANT including ROLLED IN GRANTS 2011-2013

	DEPT.	Provisional Settlement	2011/12	Change	2012/13	Change
		GF	GF	2011/12	GF	2012/13
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Formula Grant		67.733	58.788	-8.945	52.962	-5.826
Adjustments				-0.435		-0.435
Funding top-sliced:						
Private Sewers		-0.076	-0.076	0.000	-0.076	0.000
Planning Inspectorate SUDs Appeals		-0.005	-0.005	0.000	-0.005	0.000
Costs						
Academies		-0.462	-0.462	0.000	-0.462	0.000
Sub-total: Funding top-sliced		-0.543	-0.543	0.000	-0.543	0.000
Rolled-in Grants						
Local Involvement Networks (LINKS)	CE/CS	0.123	0.106	-0.017	0.096	-0.011
Sub-total: CS Grants	CE/CS	0.123	0.106	-0.017	0.096	-0.011
Concessionary Fares	C&H	1.179	1.023	-0.156	0.922	-0.101
Mobile Homes Act	C&H	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000
Mental Health	C&H	0.505	0.438	-0.067	0.395	-0.043
Learning & Disability Development Fund	C&H	0.143	0.124	-0.019	0.112	-0.012
Mental Capacity Act & Independent Mental Capacity	C&H	0.091	0.079	-0.012	0.071	-0.008
Carers Grant (C&H share)	C&H	0.686	0.595	-0.091	0.536	-0.059
Adult Social Care Workforce	C&H	0.436	0.378	-0.058	0.341	-0.037
Personal Social Services	C&H	0.960	0.833	-0.127	0.751	-0.083
Supporting People	C&H	3.385	2.938	-0.447	2.647	-0.291
Housing Strategy for Older People	C&H	0.070	0.061	-0.009	0.055	-0.006
AIDS Support	C&H	0.240	0.208	-0.032	0.188	-0.021
Preserved Rights	C&H	0.641	0.556	-0.085	0.501	-0.055
Sub-total: C&H Grants		8.337	7.234	-1.103	6.520	-0.716
Child Death Review Processes	CSF	0.034	0.030	-0.004	0.026	-0.003
Carers Grant (CSF Share)	CSF	0.171	0.149	-0.022	0.134	-0.015
Child & Adolescent Mental Health	C&H	0.448	0.389	-0.059	0.351	-0.039
Services for Children in Care (Care	CSF					
Matters White Paper)		0.189	0.164	-0.025	0.148	
LSC Staff Transfer	CSF	0.279	0.242	-0.037	0.218	-0.024
Sub-total: CSF Grants		1.121	0.974	-0.147	0.877	-0.096
Economic Assessment Duty	E&R	0.065	0.056	-0.009	0.051	-0.006
Sub-total: E&R Grants		0.065	0.056	-0.009	0.051	-0.006
Sub-total: Rolled-in Grants		9.103	7.829	-1.274	7.001	-0.830
Formula Grant		76.836	66.617	-10.219	59.961	-6.656
Adjustments to 2011/12			-0.435			0.435
			66.182		59.961	-6.221

APPENDIX 3

SUMMARY OF ENDED GRANTS

	Туре		Merton 2010/11
		<u>Grant</u>	<u>£m</u>
Ended	ABG	14 - 19 Flexible Funding Pot	0.035
Ended	ABG	Choice Advisers	0.025
Ended	ABG	Cohesion	0.000
Ended	ABG	Designated Teacher Funding	0.008
Ended	ABG	Education Health Partnerships	0.043
Ended	ABG	Extended Schools Start-Up Grants	0.244
Ended	ABG	In-year ABG cuts: Home Office	-0.022
Ended	ABG	Local Enterprise Growth Initiative	0.000
Ended	ABG	Preventing Violent Extremism	0.160
	450	Primary National Strategy - Central	
Ended	ABG	Co-ordination	0.099
Ended	ABG	School Development Grant (LA Element)	0.248
Ended	ABG	School Gates Employment	0.000
Ended	ABG	School Improvement Partners	0.058
Ended	ABG	School Intervention Grant	0.037
Ended	ABG	School Travel Advisers	0.017
Ended	ABG	Secondary National Strategy - Behaviour and Attendance	0.068
		Secondary National Strategy - Central	
Ended	ABG	Co-ordination	0.122
Ended	ABG	Stronger Safer Communities Fund	0.000
Ended	ABG	Sustainable Travel - General Duty	0.012
	Specific		
Ended	Grant	Generations Together	0.000
F	Specific		0.000
Ended	Grant	Growth Areas, Points and Eco Towns	0.000
Ended	Specific Grant	Housing Market Renewal	0.000
Lilaca	Specific		0.000
Ended	Grant	Playing for Success	0.160
	Specific		31.00
Ended	Grant	Prospectus and Common Application Process	0.011
Ended	ABG	Working Neighbourhoods Fund	0.000
		Total Ended Grants	1.325

APPENDIX 3

SUMMARY OF GRANTS UNDER REVIEW AND UNKNOWN TO DATE

	Type		Merton 2010/11
		<u>Grant</u>	<u>£m</u>
		Community Call for Action/Overview Scrutiny	
HO Grant (tba)	ABG	Committee	0.002
HO Grant (tba)	ABG	Stronger Safer Communities	0.216
HO Grant (tba)	ABG	Young People's Substance Misuse Partnership	0.061
Retained	Specific Grant	GLA Revenue	0.000
Under Review	ABG	Extended Rights to Free Transport	0.004
Under Review	Specific Grant	Music Services	0.243
Unknown	ABG	Aggregate Levy Sustainability Fund	0.000
Unknown	ABG	Climate Change	0.023
Unknown	ABG	Environmental Damage Regulations	0.000
Unknown	ABG	Familiarisation costs of new statutory guidance on social housing allocations	0.001
Unknown	ABG	NI160 STATUS Survey	0.008
Unknown	ABG	Pitt Review Implementation – SWMPs	0.000
Unknown	Specific	City Challenge	0.011
Unknown	Grant	Coastal Change Pathfinders	0.000
Unknown		GLA - Capital	0.000
Unknown	Specific Grant	Waste Management Pilots	0.000
		Total Unknown/Under Review	0.569

CAPITAL BUDGETS 2010-2013 SUMMARY OF OVERALL POSITION

CURRENT PROGRAMME PERIOD 2010/13

Department	New 2010/11 Total budget - after reprofiling
	£000s
Corporate Services	8,495
Children, Schools and Families	37,083
Environment and Regeneration	16,814
Community and Housing	4,336
TOTAL	66,728

Revised 2011/12 before Changes	2011/12 Increases/ Decreases	Revised 2011/12 including Changes £000s
20003	20003	20003
8,438	(409)	8,029
20,115	1,090	21,205
27,653	4,691	32,344
497	38	535
56,703	5,410	62,113

Revised 2012/13 before Changes £000s	2012/13 Increases/ Decreases £000s	Revised 2012/13 including Changes £000s
7,780	-	7,780
10,264	22,055	32,319
25,339	1,170	26,509
637	(200)	437
44.020	23.025	67.045

ADDITIONAL YEARS 2013/14 & 2014/15

Department
Department
Corporate Services
Children, Schools and Families
Environment and Regeneration
Community and Housing
TOTAL

Continuation of existing schemes 2013/14	2013/14 Increases/ Decreases	Proposed Schemes 2013/14
£000s	£000s	£000s

2,555		2,555
	10,925	10,925
7,672	375	8,047
287		287

10,514	11,300	21,814

Continuation of existing schemes 2014/15	2014/15 Increases/ Decreases	Proposed Schemes 2014/15
£000s	£000s	£000s

2,095		2,095
	2,920	2,920
7,672	370	8,042
287		287

10,054 3,290 13,344			
	10,054	3,290	13,344

CAPITAL BUDGETS 2010-2013 SUMMARY OF OVERALL POSITION

	Capital Programme	Funded by Borrowing	Funded by Grant
	£000s	£000s	£000s
			T
Original 2010/11	53,816	39,403	14,413
SEN Centre of Excellence			
(Schools Forum funding)	3,140	3,140	
Additional Grant	8,568		8,568
Slippage	22,182	14,893	7,289
Reprofiling/	(15,537)	(12,257)	
Amendments	(1,294)	(1,294)	
Schemes on Hold	(4,147)	(4,147)	
TOTAL	66,728	39,738	26,990
Original 2011/12	42,067	38,769	3,298
CSF Grant		(8,598)	8,598
Reprofiling/	10,553	7,273	
Amendments	(64)	(64)	
Schemes on Hold	4,147	4,147	
Increases/Decreases (TFL grant)	3,516		3,516
Increases/Decreases	1,894	1,894	
TOTAL	62,113	43,421	18,692
Original 2012/13	39,036	36,066	2,970
Additional Grant		(8,500)	
Reprofiling/	4,984	4,984	
Increases/Decreases	23,025	23,025	
TOTAL	67,045	55,575	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·
Continuation of existing			
schemes 2013/14	10,514	10,514	
Additional Grant		(8,500)	8,500
Increases/Decreases	11,300	11,300	
TOTAL	21,814	13,314	8,500
Continuation of existing			
schemes 2014/15	10,054	10,054	
Additional Grant		(8,500)	8,500
Increases/Decreases	3,290	3,290	
TOTAL	13,344	4,844	8,500

Summary of Increases/Decreases

		2011/12	2012/13	2013-14	2014-15	Full Year
		Increases/ Decreases	Increases/ Decreases	Increases/ Decreases	Increases/ Decreases	Revenue Effects
		£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s
	Scheme Description		· ·	1		
	Roadway within Chapel Orchard	66				7
Services	and Worsfold House Replacement of air conditioning					
	equipment	50				5
	IT Equipment Room Air	75				8
	Conditioning					
	Redundancy Payments	(600)				(63)
Children, Schools and Families	Primary places additional growth	(490)	20,265	9,725	1,450	3,250
	Schools Modernisation & Access Initiative	900	900	870	870	372
	Primary school autism unit	470	400	30		95
	Secondary school expansion Brightwell	100	100	100 200	500 100	63 53
	Youth & Community centres			200	100	
	reprovision	110	390			53
- · .						
Environment		30				Invest to
Regeneration	Climbing wall	30				Save
	Merton Energy Loan Fund	50	50			5
	Highway Asset Management	75	75			16
	Street Lighting Energy Reduction	400	400	150	150	Invest to Save
	CCTV - Safer Merton & Parking	50	50			Invest to Save
	Bushey Road Bridge	120	45	65	60	30
	Additional Bridges Improvement	305	250	160	160	92
	Wimbledon Park Watersports Centre	28				3
	John Innes Rec/Rutlish wall	117				11
	Workshop - Fleet Maintenance		300			32
Community and Housing	Marlborough Hall Refurbishment	138				Invest to Save
	Refurbishment of West Barnes Library	(100)				(11)
	Refurbishment of other libraries		(200)			(21)
	Increase/Decreases funded by borrowing	1,894	23,025	11,300	3,290	4,001
	Earmarked Schemes - Transport for London	3,516				
	Total Increases/Decreases	5,410	23,025	11,300	3,290	4,001

CAPITAL BUDGETS 2010-2015

	2010/11 Budget incl	Changes as a result of	Amendments	New 2010/11 Total budget -	2011/12 as per Capital	Changes as a result of	Amendments	Increases/D	Revised	2012/13 as per Capital	Changes as a result of		Proposed 2013-14	Proposed 2014-15
Corporate Services	slippage	Reprofiling		after reprofiling	Programme	Reprofiling	2011-12	ecreases	2011/12	Programme		Revised 2012/13		Budget
	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s
Scheme Description														
IT														
Connect to N3 Netwrk NHS Spine	150		(120)	30										
Corporate mobile working	213		(213)	0	64		(64)							
CRM / Self Service	300	(84)	(135)	81		44			44		40	40	45	45
Disaster recovery (IT Generator)	483	(107)		376		107			107					
Document Management - Contractual	251			251										
e-procurement	236	(136)		100		136			136					
IT Equipment	777	(284)		493	565	284			849	620		620	350	350
IT Strategy	973	(300)	(22)	651	460	(410)			50		710	710		
Livelink Updated	99	(99)				99			99					
PABX	305	(80)		225		80			80					
Replace Providerlink / Homecare Rostering /														
Scheduling	139			139										
Streetworks Permit	52	(52)				52			52					
Transformation (IT)	1,080	(415)		665	2,000	415			2,415	2,000		2,000	900	800
Development of shared services through cloud														
computing	1,000	(650)		350		650			650					
Handheld Terminals - Parking	,	(/												
· ·														
R&M														
Capitalisation MTFS includes an equal credit	1.500			1,500	1,500				1,500	1.500		1,500		
Civic Centre refurbishment	821	(16)		805	540	16			556	510		510	360	
Civic Centre Windows	021	(10)		000	040	10			000	1.600		1,600	000	
Energy Utilities Invest to Save	106			106	100				100	.,000		.,000	100	100
Invest to Save schemes - General	150			150	100				100	100		100	100	100
Redundancy Payments	1,000		(523)	477	1,000			(600)	400	100		100	100	100
			` '					(000)		700		700	700	700
Repair and Maintenance	1,222		(86)	1,136	700				700	700		700	700	700
DDA - Access to Buildings	504		(195)	309										
CHP Equipment	650		(193)	650			1							
2009/10 Overspends	030			030										
2000/10 Overspends	U			U										
TOTAL	12,012	(2,223)	(1,294)	8,495	7,029	1,473	(64)	-600	7,838	7,030	750	7,780	2555	2095

Roadway within Chapel Orchard and Worsfold House	66	66			
Replacement of air conditioning equipment	50	50			
IT Equipment Room Air Conditioning	75	75			
New scheme increases	191	191			
Non conomo mercacco	101	101			
TOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET	-409	8,029	7,780	2,555	2,095

Children, Schools and Families

Appendix 4(4) continued

CAPITAL BUDGETS 2010-2015

Children, Schools and Families	2010/11 Budget incl slippage	result of		Canital	Changes as a recult	Increases/D	Revised	Capital	result of	Increases/D	Revised	2013-14	Proposed 2014-15
Cahama Daggintian		Reprofiling	budget - after reprofiling	Capital Programme	Changes as a result of Reprofiling	ecreases	2011/12	Programme	Reprofiling	ecreases	2012/13	Budget	Budget
Cahama Dassintian	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s
Scheme Description													
·													
Aragon Expansion	135		135.00		100		100		1,115		1,115		
Benedict Expansion	974		974.44		1,600		1,600		300		300		
Bishop Gilpin New Classroom	250		250.00		,		,						
Cranmer Expansion	456		456.00										
Hollymount Permanent expansion	2,441		2,441.00		2,900		2,900						
Joseph Hood Permanent expansion	1,144		1,143.54		3,705		3,705		1,110		1,110		
St Thomas of Canterbury expansion	600		600.00		•		,		,		,		
Pupil Growth - Additional expansion													
(unallocated)	4,500	(4,450)	50.00		7,450		7,450		4,500		4,500		1 7
Temp classrooms for 5 schools		,	-		1,040		1,040		260		260		
·					·								
St Ann's Primary Phase	40		40.00		350		350		350		350		
Condon DCD	204		204.00		1.000		1 000						
Garden PCP Links PCP	201 300		201.00 300.00		1,900		1,900						
St Mark's Primary PCP	500		500.00										
SS Peter & Paul PCP	100		100.00		900		900						
William Morris PCP	100	-50	50.00		100		100		350		350		
	345	-50	345.00		100		100		330		330		
Wim College catering classroom West Wimbledon SEN project p2	247		247.00										
Bond electrc wrk/asbstos rmovl	220		220.00										
Gorringe Park repointing	30		30.00										
Hatfeild playground works	35		35.00										
Hillcross boiler renewal	136		136.00										
Lonesome heating & playground	40		40.00										
Merton Abbey rewiring	80		80.00										
Pelham rewiring	10		10.00										
Stanford roofing & tarmac	60		60.00										- 7
West Wimbledon repointing	33		33.00										- 7
Cricket Green fascia board	105		105.00										- 7
Melrose pitched roof renewal	50		50.00										- 7
School Expansion/PCP feasibility	130		130.00			1							- 7
Condition surveys	35		34.71										
Retention	93		93.00										
Benedict	7		6.84										
Haslemere	5		5.06										
St Anns	40		40.38										
Modernisation	77		77.17										
Devolved Formula Capital	3,646		3,646.20										
St Ann's new build (plus new targeted	3,3 10		0,010.20										— 7
capital)	6,951		6,950.64										(/
Wimbledon Chase Expansion	3,746		3,745.82										—
Holy Trinity Expansion	2,517		2,516.62										—
Bond PCP	241		240.54										—
Poplar PCP	253		253.38										
6th Forms	7,198		7,197.94										—
New Pupil Places - Garfield	111		111.12										—
Extended schools	271		271.35										

Children, Schools and Families

Appendix 4(4) continued

Children, Schools and Families	2010/11 Budget incl slippage	Changes as a result of Reprofiling	New 2010/11 Total budget - after reprofiling	2011/12 as per Capital Programme	Changes as a result of Reprofiling	Increases/D ecreases	Revised 2011/12	2012/13 as per Capital Programme	Changes as a result of Reprofiling	Increases/D ecreases	Revised 2012/13	Proposed 2013-14 Budget	Proposed 2014-15 Budget
	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s
Schools Access Initiative Inclusion	83		83.04	70			70	70			70		
ntergenerational Centre	254		254.19										
Aiming High	28		28.00										,
3-13 Play Spaces	94		94.23										,
Adventure Playground	224	337	560.74										1
DLM Additional Software Licences	60		60.00										İ
CT Harnessing Technology Grant	728		728.00										1
Building School for the Future (BSF)	663		13.43	1,300	(1,300)			1,250	(1,250)				·
•	1	(000)	0.71	1,000	(1,500)			1,230	(1,200)				
S106 Aragon School gates	1				1								
St John Fisher Governor's 10%	1		0.96										
Youth Capital Fund	98		98.00		ļ								
Youth Centre Buildings	51		50.83										
School Meals Kitchens	6		6.02										1
SEN - Melrose	23		22.74										
Raynes Park Sports Pavilion	141		140.80										
SEN	171		140.00										
Cricket Green Site	120		120.00										
Items not yet allocated to a particular													İ
scheme Childrens Centres	812		628.00										
Modernisation - Other	43		43.00										
Primary Capital Programme	132		132.00										
Pupil Growth - Other	3,651	(3,651)	-	14,515	(14,515)			4,710	(2,501)		2,209		
Pupil Growth - Holy Trinity/Wimbledon	0		0.33										
Pupil Growth - School Expansions PVI 2010/11	4 000		-										
Pvi 2010/11 Primary Expansion Expenditure to be	1,000		65.00										
allocated													
TOTAL	46,666	(8,464)	37,083	15,885	4,230		20,115	6,030	4,234		10,264		
		Primary places	additional growth		1	-490	-490	1		20,265	20,265	9,725	1,450
			rnisation & Access		 	900	900			900	900	870	870
			-	milative	1	470	470			400	400	30	570
		Primary school			+	470	470	 		400	400	100	FOC
		Secondary sch	iooi expansion		1	100	100			100	100		500
		Brightwell				100	100			100	100	200	100
		Youth & Comn	nunity centres repr	ovision		110	110			390	390		
			New scheme inci	eases and dec	reases	1090	1090			22055	22055	10925	2920
						1090	1090			22055	22000	10925	2920
			TOTAL	PROPOSED B	UDGET	1,090	21,205			22,055	32,319	10,925	2,920

CAPITAL BUDGETS 2010-2015

	2010/11	Changes as a result	New 2010/11	2011/12 as per				2012/13 as	Changes as a recult			Drawood	Drangood
	Budget incl	Changes as a result of Reprofiling	Total budget -	Capital	Changes as a result of	Increases/D	Revised	per Capital	Changes as a result of Reprofiling	Increases/D	Revised	Proposed 2013-14	Proposed 2014-15
Environment and Regeneration	slippage	2010/11	after reprofiling	Programme	Reprofiling 2011/12	ecreases	2011/12	Programme	2012/13	ecreases	2012/13	Budget	Budget
	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s
Scheme Description	=-	(0.000)	0.000				2 222						
Earmarked Schemes - Transport for London	4,472	(2,200)	2,272		2,200		2,200						
Leisure Services Condition of Parks, Open Spaces &		(000)											
Buildings	633	(393)	240	270	393		663						
CCTV and Anti-Social Behaviour (ASB)													
Crime Reduction, ASB & Drugs - funded by the Home													
Office	109		109	58			58						
CCTV	312	(103)	209	100	103		203	100			100		
Mobile speed cameras	40	-40		20	40		60	20			20		
Environmental Health													
Disabled Facilities Grant	1,243	(500)	743	700	470		1,170	700			700	724	724
Private Sector Housing Programme	410	-283	127	40	313		353	40			40	40	40
Grass Cutting and Tree Maintenance													
Highway trees inspection, data update and works	50		50	25			25	25			25	25	25
Greenspaces													
Bank reinstatement at Havelock Allotments	400	-400			400		400						
Boundary fencing and painting to raise the standard of													
Parks to Greenflag level for priority sites	33		33										
Bridge inspection surveys in Parks	16		16	10			10	10			10	10	10
Cemetries	372		372										
Groundwork Trust	47		47	47			47						
New pavilion at Abbey Recreation Ground	156	-46	110		46		46						
Parks Investment	250	-50	200	250	50		300	250			250	250	250
Refurbishment of London Road public, staff and													
disabled toliets	55		55										
Repairs and replacement Lighting in Parks	10		10										
Upgrade access paths around the main routes at													
Cannizaro Park	40		40										
Wall repairs at Church lane playing fields and John													
Innes Park	10		10										
Wimbledon Park drainage improvements	100	-40	60		40		40						
Works to prevent access by Travellers onto Parks and													
Open Spaces	10		10										
Trees for Cities Programme (& Other Tree													
Planting/Nature Conversation)				25			25						
Highway Maintananaa													
Highway Maintenance Bridge Inspection	39		39	31			31	58			58	58	58
Bridge Inspection Bridge Repairs General	39		39	50			50	70			70	70	
Bushey Road bridge repair	270	(100)	170	10	100		110	25			25	70	70
	61	(100)	61	59	100		59	62			62	62	62
Demand for Surface Water Drainage - Flooding								62			62	62	62
Highways bridges and structures improvements	260		260	268			268						
Highways Maintenance	1,543		1,543	1,570			1,570	1,884			1,884	1884	1884
Maintenance Anti-Skid and Coloured	75		75	78			78	90			90	90	
Public Rights of Way Improvement Plan	20		20	20			20	20			20	20	
Repairs to Footways	569		569	1,046			1,046	1,255			1,255	1255	1255
Replacement of Structures	=-		=-	26			26	28			28	30	30
Selby bridge treatments	58		58	ļ				 				l	
Wimbledon Bridge	200		200	ļ				1					
B340MOSS rpt (land Rutlish Rd)	54		4 54	ļ				 				l	
B497/8 Lombard Rd Improvements			54 14	1				 				l	
B501 Langley Rd resurfacing River Wandle Footbridge	14 51		14 51	 				-					
Church Walk/Fairway	51		51							 			
Onuron Walkir all way	5		5	1				 					
		l	l	l .				1		ll			

Environment and Regeneration Appendix 4(4) continued

	2010/11 Budget incl	Changes as a result of Reprofiling	New 2010/11 Total budget -	2011/12 as per Capital	Changes as a result of	Increases/D	Revised	2012/13 as per Capital	Changes as a result of Reprofiling	Increases/D	Revised	Proposed 2013-14	Proposed 2014-15
Environment and Regeneration	slippage	2010/11	after reprofiling	Programme	Reprofiling 2011/12	ecreases	2011/12	Programme	2012/13	ecreases	2012/13	Budget	Budget
Leisure Centres													
Replacement of Leisure Centre Plant and Machinery including accelerated schemes in 2009/10	347		347	600			600	350			350	300	300
Leisure Centres	347		547	000			000	330			330	300	300
Plant and Ceiling Works (all Leisure Centres)													
Morden Pool Park and Leisure Centre Investment				1,000			1,000	10,000			10,000		
				1,555			.,,	,			,,,,,		
Leisure Facilities and Support Services													
Decorating Gap Road Chapel													
Heritage/Culture	100	(100)	550	200	100		300	700			700		
Wimbledon Theatre Refurbishment Raynes Park Sports Ground	550		550	-		-	-						
Public Art Work	20		20										
Big Lottery Play Areas	27		27	1									
Vestry Hall	1		1										
On and Off Street Parking				ļ									
Parking management - CPZ; disabled parking bays & waiting restrictions	380		380	380		1	380						
Resurfacing of Peel House Upper Car Park	215		215	380		1	380						
St Mark's Car Park (structural)	75		75	 		 	+			ł			
Improved parking for shop parades (dropped kerbs,						1							
machines, etc)	100	(100)		100	100		200	100			100		
Parking	191	(15)	176		15		15						
Handheld Terminals - Parking	120	(100)	20		100		100						
Plans and Projects			-	-		-	-						
Climate Change Initiatives	317	(100)	217	140	100		240	140			140	140	140
Mitcham Market Garden Project	40	(100)	40										
Property Management and Review				-									
WCA investment Acquisitions Programme	50 900		50 900	-									
Invest to Save	50		50	140			140	140			140	140	140
Minor Land Purchases (Rookwood Avenue)	50	(5)	50	140	5		140	140			140	140	140
P3 Site	25	(0)	25	1			Ŭ						
Regeneration Partnerships													
Investment In Deprived Areas - East Merton. Investment in industrial estates to create high-tech	267	(120)	147	80	120		200	80			80		
industrial park for key sectors	250	(200)	50	250	200		450	250			250		
Regeneration	241	(200)	241	250	200		430	250			230		
Town Centre Improvements	671	(600)	71	420	600		1,020	450			450		
Town Centre Investment				250			250	250			250	500	500
Mitcham means Business	250	(100)	150		100		100						
Queensmere Road	5		5	 									
Street Scene				 									
Street Scene Improvement Programme	1,829	(1.044)	785	1,250	1,044	 	2,294	1,250			1,250	1250	1250
Street tree programme (25 year programme)	1,029	(1,044)	100	1,250	1,044	 	150	1,250			1,250	1250	1250
	120	(20)	100	120			700	120			.20	120	.20
Street Lighting													
Street Lighting Replacement Programme	211		211	245			245	269			269	269	269
Teeffin and Dealine Management				 									
Traffic and Parking Management 20's Plenty & Area Traffic Calming	105		105	96			96	86			86		
Area Traffic calming measures/investigation	290		290	90			90	100		ŀ	100	90	90
Boroughwide waiting restrictions	290		290	90		 	90	100			100	90	90
Footway parking - policy/pilot schemes	50		50	 		 	t						
Minor traffic / danger reduction measures	30		50	100			100	120			120	120	120
Traffic surveys & Investigations				30			30	30			30		
Traffic surveys and safety measures/investigations	90		90	46			46	56			56	70	70
	459	(458)	1	186	458		644						

Environment and Regeneration Appendix 4(4) continued

Environment and Regeneration Wimbledon Area Traffic Study	2010/11 Budget incl slippage		Total budget - after reprofiling	Programme	Changes as a result of Reprofiling 2011/12	Increases/D ecreases	Revised 2011/12	2012/13 as per Capital Programme	Changes as a result of Reprofiling 2012/13	Increases/D ecreases	Revised 2012/13	Proposed 2013-14 Budget	Proposed 2014-15 Budget
Updating Existing 20MPH Zones	89	(42)	89		42		42						
Church Road/Liberty Avenue Traffic Consultation	75		75										†
Transport Planning	10		10										
Transportation enhancements in Wimbledon, Morden and Mitcham town centres				5,000			5,000	5,000			5,000		
Transport and Plant													

Environment and Regeneration Appendix 4(4) continued

	2010/11	Changes as a result		2011/12 as per			D. 11		Changes as a result		B. Carl	Proposed	Proposed
	Budget incl	of Reprofiling	Total budget -	Capital		Increases/D	Revised	per Capital	of Reprofiling	Increases/D	Revised	2013-14	2014-15
Environment and Regeneration	slippage		after reprofiling	Programme	Reprofiling 2011/12	ecreases	2011/12	Programme	2012/13	ecreases	2012/13	Budget	Budget
Replacement of Fleet Vehicles	1,161	(535)	626	500	535		1,035						
Morden Town Centre - Highway Improvements													
Durnsford Road Works	5		5										
Public Transport Infrastructure	555		355		200		200						
Portacabin Accomm Garth Road	16	(16)	(0)		16		16						
Waste Operations													
Alley Gating Scheme - Fly Tipping	57		57	50			50	50			50	50	
Barrows and Bins Replacement Programme	6		6	60		·	60	60			60	60	60
Extension of kitchen waste collection to entire borough	500	(500)			500		500						
Kitchen waste containers replacement								26			26		<u> </u>
Planned Maintenance of Re-use/recycling Sites	43		43	40			40	40			40	40	40
Street Waste Collection Machines	200		200										
Waste Phase B requirements - Replace Large Waste													
Collection Vehicles and Purchases	2,089	(434)	1,655	2,465	434		2,899	990			990		i l
Litter Bin Replacement + Dog Bin Replacement	5		5										
Waste Services	290	(115)	175		115		115						
Other													
Completion of the mobile working initiative	50		50										
50 Montgomery Close	15		15										
Rathbone Training Centre	20		20										
Haydons Road	1		1										
Recycle a Cycle	5		5										
Wayfinding Schemes	7		7										
Sports Initiative	80		80										
Demolition and rebuild of changing rooms at Morden													
Park				110			110						i l
John Innes Park Zaun fencing around the Recreation													
Ground								40			40		1
New Projects E&R	20		20										
Wheeled Bin scheme - subject to Scrutiny Review													
TOTAL including Wheeled Bins	25.812	(8.997)	16,814	18,656	8.997		27,653	25,339			25,339	7672	7672
TOTAL Including Wheeled Bills	23,012	(6,991)	10,014	16,030	0,991		21,000	23,339		1	23,339	7072	7072

Climbing wall	30	30
Merton Energy Loan Fund	50	50
Highway Asset Management	75	75
Street Lighting Energy Reduction	400	400
CCTV - Safer Merton & Parking	50	50
Bushey Road Bridge	120	120
Additional Bridges Improvement	305	305
Wimbledon Park Watersports Centre	28	28
John Innes Rec/Rutlish wall	117	117
Workshop - Fleet Maintenance		
New scheme increases	1,175	1,175
Earmarked Schemes - Transport for London	3,516	3,516
New scheme increases	4,691	4,691
TOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET	4,691	32,344

·			
50	50		
75	75		
400	400	150	150
50	50		
45	45	65	60
250	250	160	160
300	300		
1,170	1,170	375	370
1,170	1,170	375	370
1,170	26,509	8,047	8,042

Community and Housing

Appendix 4(4) continued

CAPITAL BUDGETS 2010-2013

Community and Housing	2010/11 Budget	Changes as a result of Reprofiling	New 2010/11 Total budget - after reprofiling	2011/12 as per Capital	Changes as a result of Reprofiling	Increases/D ecreases	Revised 2011/12	2012/13 as per Capital Programme	Changes as a result of Reprofiling	Increases/D ecreases	Revised 2012/13	Proposed 2013	Proposed 2014- 15 Budget
Community and Housing	incl slippage			Programme									Ŭ
	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s
Scheme Description													
Media Fund	287		287	287			287	287			287	287	287
Works to Merton Adult Education													
Centre to facilitate expansion of Joseph													
Hood School	350		350										
Day Centre Kitchen/ Toilet/ IT Upgrades				110			110	150			150		
Refurbishment of West Barnes Library				100		-100							
Refurbishment of other libraries								200		-200			
Gypsy/Travellers site	7		7										
Improving Information Management	10		10										
Meopham Road	970		970										
Affordable Housing Fund	210		210										
Regeneration	16		16										
5 Clifford Avenue - Contribution from													
Sutton & Merton PCT	450		450										
St Catherine's School	1,963		1,963										
JMC LD Day Centre	28		28										
Libraries	46		46								·		
TOTAL	4,336		4,336	497		-100	397	637		-200	437	287	287

Marlborough Hall Refurbishment	138	138	
New scheme increases	138	138	

TOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET	38	535	637	-200	437	287	287

Amendments to 2010/11 Programme

Appendix 4(5)

	2010-11	2011-12	Total
	£000	£0	£0
Corporate Services - IT			
Connect to N3 Netwrk NHS	(120)		(120)
Spine			
Corporate mobile working	(213)	(64)	(277)
CRM / Self Service	(135)		(135)
IT Strategy	(22)		(22)
TOTAL IT	(490)	(64)	(554)
Corporate Services - R&M			
Repairs and Maintenance	(86)		-86
DDA - Access to Buildings	(195)		(195)
DDT Treases to Danamige	(100)		(100)
TOTAL R&M	(281)	0	(281)
Redundancy Payments	(523)		(523)
TOTAL	(1,294)	(64)	(1,358)

SAVINGS ANALYSIS FOR CABINET 17th January 2011 including All Savings

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
CABINET 8th November 2010:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's and Corporate Services	3,647	-100	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	1,281	13	0	0
Environment and Regeneration	2,724	-7	15	0
Community and Housing	2,664	40	0	0
Total	10,316	-54	15	0

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Cabinet 13th December 2010:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's and Corporate Services	0	0	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	837	0	0	0
Environment and Regeneration	1,530	0	0	0
Community and Housing	1,192	112	0	0
Total	3,559	112	0	0

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Cabinet 17th January 2011:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's and Corporate Services	0	0	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	141	0	0	0
Environment and Regeneration	352	110	0	0
Community and Housing	709	0	0	0
Total	1202	110	0	0

ALL SAVINGS	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
CABINET 8 Nov.'10 + 13 Dec.'10 + 17th Jan.'11	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's and Corporate Services	3,647	-100	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	2,259	13	0	0
Environment and Regeneration	4,606	103	15	0
Community and Housing	4,565	152	0	0
Total	15,077	168	15	0

SAVINGS ANALYSIS FOR CABINET 17th January 2011 Non ABG Savings

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
CABINET 8th November 2010:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's and Corporate Services	3,581	-100	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	492	13	0	0
Environment and Regeneration	2,724	-7	15	0
Community and Housing	2,654	40	0	0
Total	9,451	-54	15	0

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Cabinet 13th December 2010:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's and Corporate Services	0	0	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	717	0	0	0
Environment and Regeneration	1,530	0	0	0
Community and Housing	1,096	112	0	0
Total	3,343	112	0	0

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Cabinet 17th January 2011:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's and Corporate Services	0	0	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	141	0	0	0
Environment and Regeneration	330	110	0	0
Community and Housing	709	0	0	0
Total	1180	110	0	0

NON - ABG SAVINGS	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
CABINET 8 Nov.'10 + 13 Dec.'10 + 17th Jan.'11	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's and Corporate Services	3,581	-100	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	1,350	13	0	0
Environment and Regeneration	4,584	103	15	0
Community and Housing	4,459	152	0	0
Total	13,974	168	15	0

SAVINGS ANALYSIS FOR CABINET 17th January 2011 ABG Savings

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
CABINET 8th November 2010:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's and Corporate Services	66	0	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	789	0	0	0
Environment and Regeneration	0	0	0	0
Community and Housing	10	0	0	0
Total	865	0	0	0

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Cabinet 13th December 2010:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's and Corporate Services	0	0	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	120	0	0	0
Environment and Regeneration	0	0	0	0
Community and Housing	96	0	0	0
Total	216	0	0	0

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Cabinet 17th January 2011:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's and Corporate Services	0	0	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	0	0	0	0
Environment and Regeneration	22	0	0	0
Community and Housing	0	0	0	0
Total	22	0	0	0

ABG SAVINGS	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
CABINET 8 Nov.'10 + 13 Dec.'10 + 17th Jan.'11	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's and Corporate Services	66	0	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	909	0	0	0
Environment and Regeneration	22	0	0	0
Community and Housing	106	0	0	0
Total	1,103	0	0	0

SAVINGS ANALYSIS FOR CABINET 17th January 2011 including All Savings

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
CABINET 8th November 2010:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	3,647	-100	0	0
Children and Young People	1,281	13	0	0
Sustainable Communities	2,886	-7	15	0
Healthier Communities and Older People	2,502	40	0	0
Total	10,316	-54	15	0

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Cabinet 13th December 2010:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	0	0	0	0
Children and Young People	837	0	0	0
Sustainable Communities	1,611	0	0	0
Healthier Communities and Older People	1,111	112	0	0
Total	3,559	112	0	0

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Cabinet 17th January 2011:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	0	0	0	0
Children and Young People	141	0	0	0
Sustainable Communities	387	110	0	0
Healthier Communities and Older People	674	0	0	0
Total	1202	110	0	0

ALL SAVINGS	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
CABINET 8 Nov.'10 + 13 Dec.'10 + 17th Jan.'11	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	3,647	-100	0	0
Children and Young People	2,259	13	0	0
Sustainable Communities	4,884	103	15	0
Healthier Communities and Older People	4,287	152	0	0
Total	15,077	168	15	0

SAVINGS ANALYSIS FOR CABINET 17th January 2011 Non ABG Savings

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
CABINET 8th November 2010:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	3,581	-100	0	0
Children and Young People	492	13	0	0
Sustainable Communities	2,886	-7	15	0
Healthier Communities and Older People	2,492	40	0	0
Total	9,451	-54	15	0

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Cabinet 13th December 2010:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	0	0	0	0
Children and Young People	717	0	0	0
Sustainable Communities	1,611	0	0	0
Healthier Communities and Older People	1,015	112	0	0
Total	3,343	112	0	0

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Cabinet 17th January 2011:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	0	0	0	0
Children and Young People	141	0	0	0
Sustainable Communities	365	110	0	0
Healthier Communities and Older People	674	0	0	0
Total	1180	110	0	0

NON - ABG SAVINGS	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
CABINET 8 Nov.'10 + 13 Dec.'10 + 17th Jan.'11	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	3,581	-100	0	0
Children and Young People	1,350	13	0	0
Sustainable Communities	4,862	103	15	0
Healthier Communities and Older People	4,181	152	0	0
Total	13,974	168	15	0

SAVINGS ANALYSIS FOR CABINET 17th January 2011 ABG Savings

CABINET 8th November 2010:	2011/12 £000			2014/15 £000
Corporate Capacity	66	0	0	0
Children and Young People	789	0	0	0
Sustainable Communities	0	0	0	0
Healthier Communities and Older People	10	0	0	0
Total	865	0	0	0

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Cabinet 13th December 2010:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	0	0	0	0
Children and Young People	120	0	0	0
Sustainable Communities	0	0	0	0
Healthier Communities and Older People	96	0	0	0
Total	216	0	0	0

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Cabinet 17th January 2011:	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	0	0	0	0
Children and Young People	0	0	0	0
Sustainable Communities	22	0	0	0
Healthier Communities and Older People	0	0	0	0
Total	22	0	0	0

ABG SAVINGS	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
CABINET 8 Nov.'10 + 13 Dec.'10 + 17th Jan.'11	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	66	0	0	0
Children and Young People	909	0	0	0
Sustainable Communities	22	0	0	0
Healthier Communities and Older People	106	0	0	0
Total	1,103	0	0	0

PANEL: CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE PHASE 3 SAVINGS: 2011-2015

Panel	Ref		Description of Saving	Baseline Budget 10/11 £000	2011/12 £000	2012/13 £000	2013/14 £000	2014/15 £000	Risk Analysis - Deliverability	Risk Analysis - Reputational Impact	Type of Saving (see key
Educati C&YP		Service Implication	Merton's LgFL Annual Revenue Contribution Saving will be achieved through reduction in Merton's match funding contribution currently funding MLE consultants in schools - we will seek to achieve higher 'buy back' commitments from schools in order to retain service.	57	57				Medium	Medium	SS2
		Business Plan implications Impact on other departments	1 possible redundancy if schools do not buy back None None None								
		Implications									
cross C	utting	Service	Cross Cutting								
C&YP	CSF37	Description	To be Identified after Grant Fall out Position Established		84				High	High	SNS1
		Service Implication	Officers will minimise the impact on service delivery								
		Staffing Implications	Not known at this stage								
		Business Plan implications	None								
		departments	None								
		Equalities Implications	None								
			Total Children, Schools and Families Department Savings for 2011-2015		141	0	0	0			

SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service		
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	Panel	
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service		
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	C&YP	Children & Young People
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	CC	Corporate Capacity
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	HC&OP	Healthier Communities & Older People
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	SC	Sustainable Communities

PANEL: SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES PHASE 3 SAVINGS: 2011-2015

. AI1E	500	TAIRABLE COMMONITIES	PHASE 3 SAVINGS: 2011-2015	Docalin -							
Panel	Ref		Description of Saving	Baseline Budget 2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	2012/13 £'000	2013/14 £'000	2014/15 £'000	Risk Analysis DELIVERABILITY	Risk Analysis REPUTATIONAL	Type of Saving (see key)
		Service Area	Spatial Planning, Transport Planning and Physical Regeneration								
SC	ER33a	Description	From transformation of three teams into One (Note: Additional saving to £150k already agreed - ER33)	1,239	100				Medium	Medium	SS1
		Service Implication	Transformation of the Spatial Planning, Physical Regeneration and Transport Planning teams into one team.								
		Staffing Implications	Reduction of L3 / L4 managers to be determined through transformation process. Transformation process to change the way of working of the three teams and drive out savings. Savings will come from reduction in use of agency staff, reduction in use of fixed term contracts and smarter use of income. Reduction in FTEs as a result will be through voluntary redundancy.								
		Business Plan implications	The timing and priorities for project delivery will have to be revised to fit in with reduced resources								
		Impact on other departments	The level of support to other depts will have to be reconsidered and possibly reduced to account for reduced resources.								
		Equalities Implications	None anticipated								
		Service Area	Waste Disposal								
sc	ER34a	Description	Savings in Landfill Costs (Note: Additional saving to £250k already agreed - ER34)	5,022	100	0	0	0	Medium	Low	SNS1
		Service Implication	Relates primarily to residual waste for first 6 months of 2010/11 being 2.7% down compared with the same period for 2009/10. Deliverability risk includes landfill and haulage contract indices rates being higher than expected; and/or a resumption in waste growth resulting from a mix of additional housing, population increase and economic growth. Also some risk from the agreed (8 November Cabinet) stopping of green waste collection direct from households.								
		Staffing Implications	None								
		Business Plan implications	As above								
		Impact on other departments	Minimal								
		Equalities Implications	None								
sc	ER37	Service Area Description	Parking Services Additional enforcement in Bus Lanes - various	3,065	130	110			High	High	SI2
		Service Implication	Once the initial work has been completed and implemented there is likely to be an increase in third party complaints as we introduce enforcement to locations								
		Staffing Implications	that are not currently enforced Due to an increase in PCNs issued there will be a need to assess if the current level of resources are sufficient to cope with the increase in representations								
		Business Plan implications	There will be a requirement to consult in part and estimates of savings are based upon not achieving a full year effect								
		Impact on other departments	The implementation of one of the proposals will require input from the Traffic and Highways section which will place demands on that team's resources								
		Equalities Implications	None								
	•	•	•						•		•

PANEL: SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES PHASE 3 SAVINGS: 2011-2015

Panel	Ref		Description of Saving		2011/12 £'000	2012/13 £'000	2013/14 £'000	2014/15 £'000	Risk Analysis DELIVERABILITY	Risk Analysis REPUTATIONAL	Type of Saving (see key)
sc	HO03	Service Description Service Implication	Housing Efficiency savings from homelessness grant 2011/12(assumption is made here that existing grant levels will be maintained Potential impact on the delivery of homelessness prevention activities, balanced against preseving staffing levels to deliver housing reform agenda	403	35				low	low	SG1
		Staffing Implications Business Plan implications Impact on other departments Equalities Implications Service Area	None none None None Physical Regeneration	67	22				Low	Low	SNS2
sc	ER38	Description	Reduction in supplies and services budgets (funded through ABG in 2010-11).								
		Service Implication	ABG currently funding LDF Evidence Base Research work and project support officer to deliver Merton's Climate Change Capital Projects								
		Staffing Implications	Project support work currently funded by ABG will end with loss of funding. Function subsumed within Future Merton transformation.								
		Business Plan implications	Call on Climate Change Capital Funding for staff to deliver projects.								
		Impact on other departments	Spatial Planning Policy (LDF Research) Facilities (Climate Change / Carbon Saving projects)								
		Equalities Implications	None								
		Total	1	<u> </u>	387	110	0	0		I	<u> </u>

PANEL: HEALTHIER COMMUNITIES & OLDER PEOPLE PHASE 3 SAVINGS: 2011-2015

Panel	Ref		Description of Saving	Baseline Budget 10/11 £000	2011/12 £000	2012/13 £000	2013/14 £000	2014/15 £000	Risk Analysis - Deliverability	Risk Analysis - Reputational Impact	Type of Saving (see key)
НС&ОР	CC22		Direct Provision Closure of Taylor Road building There are two options for this saving. Firstly, the building would close, meaning that the groups currently accessing the building would need to re-locate. Secondly, the building might stay open but groups using it would be required to pay the economic cost, thereby running the facility on a cost recovery basis. Consultation on this continues.	124	24				Low	High	SNS2
		Staffing Implications Business Plan implications	Loss of part time post. Fits with Commissioning strategy								
		Impact on other departments Equalities Implications	None None - groups affected will continue to be eligible to apply for grant funding.								
НС&ОР	CC26	Service Description Service Implication	Access & Assessments and Commissioning Reduction in grant to voluntary organisations. These savings have been identified through a joint panel of the council, PCT and voluntary sector under the Compact process, based on shared work on priorities between these parties in recent months. The saving includes a £80k recurring increase in funding from the PCT and a £175 reduction in funding to voluntary sector	1,763	255				Low	High	SP1
		Staffing Implications Business Plan implications	grants. None None								
		Impact on other departments Equalities	None Community care customers are at risk of exclusion from ordinary life for reasons of age, disability or illness. Measures which reduce or								
			increase staffing or service therefore have an equality implication in that they affect people in this category.								
НС&ОР	CC38	Service Description	All sections Increase fees to clients	6,444	100				Low	Medium	SI1
		Service Implication	This increases the income target set in CC36 from £204 to £304 based on latest available income projections.								
		Staffing Implications Business Plan	None.								
		implications Impact on other departments	None								
		Equalities Implications	Community care customers are at risk of exclusion from ordinary life for reasons of age, disability or illness. Measures which increase their contribution towards service delivery have an equality implication in that they affect people in this category.								

PANEL: HEALTHIER COMMUNITIES & OLDER PEOPLE PHASE 3 SAVINGS: 2011-2015

ІС&ОР			Description of Saving	Budget 10/11 £000	2011/12 £000	2012/13 £000	2013/14 £000	2014/15 £000	Risk Analysis - Deliverability	Risk Analysis - Reputational Impact	Saving (se key)
	CC39	Service Description Service Implication	Access & Assessments and Commissioning Propose an inflation increase of 0% on all providers. This increases the saving set in CC02 from £638 to £738 by reducing the contingency for cases where a 0% inflation could be enforced due to contractual arrangements.	41,585	100				High	High	SP1
		Staffing Implications									
		Business Plan implications	Contributes to efficiency savings								
		Impact on other	None								
		departments	O								
		Equalities	Community care customers are at risk of exclusion from ordinary life for reasons of age, disability or illness. Measures which reduce or								
		Implications	increase staffing or service therefore have an equality implication in								
			that they affect people in this category.								
		Service	Access & Assessments								
IC&OP	CC40	Description	Use of Telecare	645	60				Medium	Low	SI2
		Service Implication	Increased use of telecare will benefit Access and Assessment as								
			more people can be supported to live at home with less home care and less recourse to residential care. The saving is based on an								
			increase of 20 clients using telecare with an estimated saving per								
			client of £3k.								
		Staffing Implications	None								
		Business Plan	None								
		implications									
		Impact on other	None								
		departments Equalities	Community care customers are at risk of exclusion from ordinary life								
		Implications	for reasons of age, disability or illness. Measures which reduce or								
			increase staffing or service therefore have an equality implication in								
			that they affect people in this category.								
		Service	Access & Assessments and Commissioning						_	_	
IC&OP	CC41	Description	Forego take-up of Personal Social Services grant	960	135				Low	Low	SG2
		Service Implication	The Personal Social Services grant is intended to fund social care								
		oci vice implication	reform, learning disability campus closures and stroke strategy.								
			Through prudent planning, Merton will still deliver these outcomes								
			without full use of the grant.								
		Staffing Implications	None								
		Business Plan	None								
		implications									
		Impact on other	None								
		departments	None								
		Equalities Implications	None								
	1	Impucations	Total Community & Housing Department Savings for 2011-2015		674	0	0	0			

CABINET 17 January 2011

SUMMARY OF 2011/12 RISKS: ALL SAVINGS

×	
$\overline{\alpha}$	
~	
~	
Ľ	
_	
Ĺ	
\neg	
=	
~~	
Щ	
⋖	
2	
_	
Ш	
>	
=	
_	
Ш	
$\overline{}$	
ш	

ı			
High	4.18%	2.65%	10.89%
Ξ	(1.4% 2010/11)	(10.1% 2010/11)	(0.4% 2010/11)
Medium	8.17%	29.57%	15.27%
2	(9.1% 2010/11)	(27.1% 2010/11)	(2.8% 2010/11)
Low	14.27%	9.01%	5.97%
	(28.8% 2010/11)	(17.0% 2010/11)	(3.3% 2010/11)
	Low	Medium	High

REPUTATIONAL RISK

CABINET 17 January 2011

SUMMARY OF 2011/12 RISKS: NON-ABG SAVINGS

¥	_	4.51%	2.86%	11.75%
Y RIS	High	(1.4% 2010/11)	(10.1% 2010/11)	(0.4% 2010/11)
DELIVERABILITY RISK	Medium	8.13%	31.05%	13.62%
VER	Σ	(9.1% 2010/11)	(27.1% 2010/11)	(2.8% 2010/11)
DELI	Low	13.11%	8.53%	6.44%
		(28.8% 2010/11)	(17.0% 2010/11)	(3.3% 2010/11)
		Low	Medium	High

REPUTATIONAL RISK

CABINET 17 January 2011

SUMMARY OF 2011/12 RISKS: ABG SAVINGS

X
S
œ
_
<u>-</u>
_
$\mathbf{\Omega}$
⋖
\sim
ш
Ш
_
コ
Ш
$\overline{}$
Ш

High	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Ξ	(N/A 2010/11)	(N/A 2010/11)	(N/A 2010/11)
Medium	8.70%	10.88%	36.26%
2	(N/A 2010/11)	(N/A 2010/11)	(N/A 2010/11)
Low	29.01%	15.14%	0.00%
_	(N/A 2010/11)	(N/A 2010/11)	(N/A 2010/11)
	Low	Medium	High

REPUTATIONAL RISK

SAVINGS ANALYSIS FOR CABINET 17th January 2011 All Savings

		2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	CABINET 8 November (Phase 1)	£000	£000	£000	£000
SI1	Income: increase in current level of charges	652	0	0	0
SI2	Income: increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service	830	10	0	0
SS1	Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	1,131	0	0	0
SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	3,443	0	0	0
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	423	0	0	0
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	1,586	28	15	0
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	2,186	40	0	0
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	62	-22	0	0
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	3	0	0	0
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	0	0	0	0
		10,316	56	15	0

		2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	CABINET 13 December (Phase 2)	£000	£000	£000	£000
SI1	Income: increase in current level of charges	767	0	0	0
SI2	Income: increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service	190	0	0	0
SS1	Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	630	0	0	0
SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	918	0	0	0
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	400	0	0	0
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	100	100	0	0
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	554	12	0	0
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	0	0	0	0
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	0	0	0	0
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	0	0	0	0
		3,559	112	0	0

		2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	Cabinet 17 January 2011 (Phase 3)	£000	£000	£000	£000
SI1	Income: increase in current level of charges	100	0	0	0
SI2	Income: increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service	190	110	0	0
SS1	Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	100	0	0	0
SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	57	0	0	0
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	184	0	0	0
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	46	0	0	0
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	355	0	0	0
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	35	0	0	0
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	135	0	0	0
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	0	0	0	0
		1,202	110	0	0

		2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	Cabinet 17 January 2011 (Phases 1-3)	£000	£000	£000	£000
SI1	Income: increase in current level of charges	1,519	0	0	0
SI2	Income: increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service	1,210	120	0	0
SS1	Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	1,861	0	0	0
SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	4,418	0	0	0
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	1,007	0	0	0
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	1,732	128	15	0
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	3,095	52	0	0
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	97	-22	0	0
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	138	0	0	0
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	0	0	0	0
		15.077	278	15	0

SAVINGS ANALYSIS FOR CABINET 17th January 2011 Non ABG Savings

		2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	CABINET 8 November (Phase 1)	£000	£000	£000	£000
SI1	Income: increase in current level of charges	652	0	0	0
SI2	Income: increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service	830	-100	0	0
SS1	Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	1,131	0	0	0
SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	3,221	0	0	0
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	323	0	0	0
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	1,053	28	15	0
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	2,176	40	0	0
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	62	-22	0	0
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	3	0	0	0
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	0	0	0	0
		9,451	-54	15	0

		2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	CABINET 13 December (Phase 2)	£000	£000	£000	£000
SI1	Income: increase in current level of charges	767	0	0	0
SI2	Income: increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service	190	0	0	0
SS1	Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	630	0	0	0
SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	798	0	0	0
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	400	0	0	0
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	100	100	0	0
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	458	12	0	0
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	0	0	0	0
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	0	0	0	0
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	0	0	0	0
		3,343	112	0	0

		2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	Cabinet 17 January 2011 (Phase 3)	£000	£000	£000	£000
SI1	Income: increase in current level of charges	100	0	0	0
SI2	Income: increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service	190	110	0	0
SS1	Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	100	0	0	0
SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	57	0	0	0
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	184	0	0	0
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	24	0	0	0
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	355	0	0	0
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	35	0	0	0
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	135	0	0	0
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	0	0	0	0
		1,180	110	0	0

		2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	Cabinet 17 January 2011 (Phases 1-3)	£000	£000	£000	£000
SI1	Income: increase in current level of charges	1,519	0	0	0
SI2	Income: increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service	1,210	10	0	0
SS1	Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	1,861	0	0	0
SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	4,076	0	0	0
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	907	0	0	0
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	1,177	128	15	0
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	2,989	52	0	0
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	97	-22	0	0
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	138	0	0	0
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	0	0	0	0
		13,974	168	15	0

SAVINGS ANALYSIS FOR CABINET 17th January 2011 ABG Savings

		2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	CABINET 8 November (Phase 1)	£000	£000	£000	£000
SI1	Income: increase in current level of charges	0	0	0	0
SI2	Income: increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service	0	0	0	0
SS1	Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	0	0	0	0
SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	222	0	0	0
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	100	0	0	0
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	533	0	0	0
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	10	0	0	0
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	0	0	0	0
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	0	0	0	0
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	0	0	0	0
		865	0	0	0

		2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	CABINET 13 December (Phase 2)	£000	£000	£000	£000
SI1	Income: increase in current level of charges	0	0	0	0
SI2	Income: increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service	0	0	0	0
SS1	Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	0	0	0	0
SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	120	0	0	0
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	0	0	0	0
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	0	0	0	0
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	96	0	0	0
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	0	0	0	0
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	0	0	0	0
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	0	0	0	0
		216	0	0	0

		2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	Cabinet 17 January 2011 (Phase 3)	£000	£000	£000	£000
SI1	Income: increase in current level of charges	0	0	0	0
SI2	Income: increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service	0	0	0	0
SS1	Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	0	0	0	0
SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	0	0	0	0
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	0	0	0	0
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	22	0	0	0
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	0	0	0	0
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	0	0	0	0
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	0	0	0	0
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	0	0	0	0
		22	0	0	0

		2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	Cabinet 17 January 2011 (Phases 1-3)	£000	£000	£000	£000
SI1	Income: increase in current level of charges	0	0	0	0
SI2	Income: increase arising from expansion of existing service/new service	0	0	0	0
SS1	Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	0	0	0	0
SS2	Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	342	0	0	0
SNS1	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to efficiency	100	0	0	0
SNS2	Non - Staffing: reduction in costs due to deletion/reduction in service	555	0	0	0
SP1	Procurement / Third Party arrangements - efficiency	106	0	0	0
SG1	Grants: Existing service funded by new grant	0	0	0	0
SG2	Grants: Improved Efficiency of existing service currently funded by unringfenced grant	0	0	0	0
SPROP	Reduction in Property related costs	0	0	0	0
		1.103	0	0	0

CABINET 13 December 2010

SUMMARY OF GROWTH BY DEPARTMENT

GROWTH	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's }				
Corporate Services }	180	250	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	0	0	0	0
Environment & Regeneration	221	89	0	0
Community and Housing	73	0	0	0
TOTAL	474	339	0	0

CABINET 17 January 2011

SUMMARY OF GROWTH BY DEPARTMENT

GROWTH	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's }				
Corporate Services }				
Children, Schools and Families	1,100	0	0	0
Environment & Regeneration	1,500	0	0	0
Community and Housing	28	0	0	0
TOTAL	2,628	0	0	0

ALL GROWTH CABINET 13 December 2010 + CABINET 17 January 2011

SUMMARY OF GROWTH BY DEPARTMENT

GROWTH	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive's }				
Corporate Services }	180	250	0	0
Children, Schools and Families	1,100	0	0	0
Environment & Regeneration	1,721	89	0	0
Community and Housing	101	0	0	0
TOTAL	3,102	339	0	0

CABINET 13 December 2010

SUMMARY OF GROWTH BY PANEL

GROWTH	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	180	250	0	0
Children and Young People	0	0	0	0
Sustainable Communities	221	89	0	0
Healthier Communities & Older People	73	0	0	0
TOTAL	474	339	0	0

CABINET 17 January 2011

SUMMARY OF GROWTH BY PANEL

GROWTH	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	0	0	0	0
Children and Young People	1,100	0	0	0
Sustainable Communities	1,500	0	0	0
Healthier Communities & Older People	28	0	0	0
TOTAL	2,628	0	0	0

ALL GROWTH CABINET 13 December 2010 + CABINET 17 January 2011

SUMMARY OF GROWTH BY PANEL

GROWTH	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Capacity	180	250	0	0
Children and Young People	1,100	0	0	0
Sustainable Communities	1,721	89	0	0
Healthier Communities & Older People	101	0	0	0
TOTAL	3,102	339	0	0

GROWTH ANALYSIS - All Panels

	CABINET 13 December 2010	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
		£000	£000	£000	£000
GI1	Income: Decrease due to fall in demand for service	0	0	0	0
GI2	Income: Decrease due to reduction/deletion of service	221	89	0	0
GS1	Staffing: increase in level of service	0	0	0	0
GS2	Staffing: New service	0	0	0	0
GNS1	Non - Staffing: increase in level of service	73	0	0	0
GNS2	Non - Staffing: New service	0	0	0	0
GP1	Addition to Procurement / Third Party arrangements	180	250	0	0
GPROP	Increase in Property Related costs	0	0	0	0
		474	339	0	0

	CABINET 17 January 2011	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
		£000	£000	£000	£000
GI1	Income: Decrease due to fall in demand for service	1,500	0	0	0
GI2	Income: Decrease due to reduction/deletion of service	0	0	0	0
GS1	Staffing: increase in level of service	260	0	0	0
GS2	Staffing: New service	0	0	0	0
GNS1	Non - Staffing: increase in level of service	0	0	0	0
GNS2	Non - Staffing: New service	0	0	0	0
GP1	Addition to Procurement / Third Party arrangements	868	0	0	0
GPROP	Increase in Property Related costs	0	0	0	0
		2,628	0	0	0

	Cabinet 13 December 2010 + Cabinet 17 January 2011	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
		£000	£000	£000	£000
GI1	Income: Decrease due to fall in demand for service	1,500	0	0	0
GI2	Income: Decrease due to reduction/deletion of service	221	89	0	0
GS1	Staffing: increase in level of service	260	0	0	0
GS2	Staffing: New service	0	0	0	0
GNS1	Non - Staffing: increase in level of service	73	0	0	0
GNS2	Non - Staffing: New service	0	0	0	0
GP1	Addition to Procurement / Third Party arrangements	1,048	250	0	0
GPROP	Increase in Property Related costs	0	0	0	0
		3,102	339	0	0

PANEL: CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE PHASE 3 GROWTH: 2011-2015

Panel	Ref	Description of growth		2011/12 £000	2012/13 £000	2013/14 £000	2014/15 £000	Type of Growth (see key)
C&YP	CSF 1	Description - Service Implication -	Children's Social Care Staffing Recruitment over the past year has reduced use of agency staff from over 40% to 25%. In order to achieve this we have re-graded some front line management posts and offered recruitment incentives for key social work posts. Growth of £200,000 is required to sustain retention packages for staff already in post and continue to recruit and reduce agency use further. Previous staffing budgets have estimated full year staffing costs based on staff being paid at lower ends of their pay scales, our retention strategy involves rewarding peformance with increments and appointing capable staff to mid and higher range salaries. This remains the most cost effective way of sustaining service provision.					
		Business Plan implications Impact on other departments Staffing Implications - Equalities Implications -	i) Targeted Regrading of Specific Posts Staff continuitty and developing successful access to resources model for reduced unit costs of new placements None Reduced rates of agency staff and better value for money See EIA	200				GS1
C&YP	CSF 2	Description -	Children's Social Care Placements					
			Officers will continually review provision to accommodate demographic growth within 2011-12. Officers have constantly reviewed service provision to reduce expenditure in child placement provision and have significantly reduced the projected overspend by over £500,000. Volume pressures in children's social care have increased our numbers of children in care although we remain the lowest rate of children in care per 10,000 of all our statistical neighbours. Numbers of children in care have remained between 130 and 140. We have also reduced reliance on high cost external placements and will continue to do so through the Access to Resources project, however, this growth bid is required because our numbers of children in care are likely to remain level and we need to fund appropriate placements for them going forward. A number of our children in care in high cost placements are subject to permanency plans and future cost savings on these long term children in care are limited.					
		Business Plan	i) Additional Fostering both cost of external long term placements and increasing in house capacity for more challenging children through incentives. Use of respite fostering as alternative to care for children on the threshold. ii) Secure Accommodation iii) Southwark Judgement iv) Financial support for post adoption and special guardianship to enable children to be placed with family members outside of the care system.	590 150 100 60				GP1 GP1 GP1 GS1
		implications Impact on other departments Staffing Implications - Equalities Implications -	Staff continuity and developing successful access to resources model for reduced unit costs of new placements None Reduced rates of agency staff and better value for money See EIA					
	1		g People Growth for 2011-2015	1,100	0	0	0	

PANEL: SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES PHASE 3 GROWTH: 2011-2015

Panel	Ref		Description of Growth				2014/15 £002	Type of Growth (see key)
		Service Area	Rebasing E&R Income budgets					
sc	ERG3	Description	To address the fundamental shortfall in income budgets (particularly within Parking, Building Control and Development Control) which is estimated to be £1.5m in 2010-11	1,500				GI1
		Service Implication	To ensure that budgeted income is achievable					
		Staffing Implications Business Plan implications Impact on other departments Equalities Implications	None None None					
			Total Department Growth for 2011-2015	1,500	0	0	0	

Panel

C&YP Children & Young People

CC Corporate Capacity

HC&OP Healthier Communities & Older People

SC Sustainable Communities

Type of Growth Key

Gl1 Income: Decrease due to fall in demand for service

Gl2 Income: Decrease due to reduction/deletion of service

GS1 Staffing: increase in level of service

GS2 Staffing: New service

GNS1 Non - Staffing: increase in level of service

GNS2 Non - Staffing: New service

GP1 Addition to Procurement / Third Party arrangements

GPROP Increase in Property Related costs

PANEL: HEALTHIER COMMUNITIES & OLDER PEOPLE PHASE 3 GROWTH: 2011-2015

Panel	Ref		Description of Growth		2012/13 £000	2013/14 £000	2014/15 £000	Type of Growth (see key)
		Service	Concessionary Fares					
HC&OP	CCG07	Description	Increase in TfL settlement for 2011/12	28				GP1
		Service Implication	None					
		Staffing Implications	None					
		Business Plan	None					
		implications						
		Impact on other	None					
		departments						
		Equalities Implications	None					
	1		Total Healthier Communities and Older People Growth for 2011-2015	28	0	0	0	

<u>Type of Growth Key</u>

C&YP	Children & Young People	GI1	Income: Decrease due to fall in demand for service
CC	Corporate Capacity	GI2	Income: Decrease due to reduction/deletion of service
HC&OP	Healthier Communities & Older People	GS1	Staffing: increase in level of service
SC	Sustainable Communities	GS2	Staffing: New service
		GNS1	Non - Staffing: increase in level of service
		GNS2	Non - Staffing: New service
		GP1	Addition to Procurement / Third Party arrangements

GPROP Increase in Property Related costs

Merton's Corporate Equality Scheme sets out the Council's approach to valuing diversity and promoting equality.

By mainstreaming equality issues into the proposed budgets for savings/growth helps Merton identify areas that might have a positive or negative impact on particular groups in the community.

	CSF27 and 36										
Report and B	Idget Title:Reduction in council's annual revenue funding of London Grid for Learning (LGfL)										
Outline of pro	utline of proposed savings/growth:										
Summary in	ormation										
How does the cohesion:	proposal for savings/growth impact on Merton's responsibility to promote equality of opportunity and community										
	LgFL provides a regional ICT platform for schools to use in delivering the educational curriculum to children and young people. The proposed saving will be achieved through reducing the council's match funding of government grant and establishing buyback arrangement with schools for the LA support and consultancy provided. No specific impact on the council's responsibility to promote equality of opportunity is anticipated.										
	to address any negative impact have been identified? None necessary.										
	will be taken to mitigate and reduce the negative impact that has been identified? None necessary										
Is the proposi	al to go ahead/be amended (give details) or abandoned?										
	Go ahead										

	Paul Ballatt	2/12/10
Completed by: Head of Servi	e:	Date
	Yvette Stanley	7/12/10
Authorised by: Director	·	Date

Group	Positive impact	Neutral	Negative impact	Evidence used, e.g. census, user surveys, local research etc	How might the proposal be amended to address any negative impact?	How will any potentially negative impact of the proposal be monitored and reviewed?
Men						
Women						
Boys		V				
Girls		V				
People of different age groups						
Disabled people						
People of any ethnic group including both settled and newly arrived communities						
People of different faiths						
Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender (LGBT) people						
Vulnerable people e.g. looked after children, or low income households						
People living in particular wards or neighbourhoods						

Merton's Corporate Equality Scheme sets out the Council's approach to valuing diversity and promoting equality.

By mainstreaming equality issues into the proposed budgets for savings/growth helps Merton identify areas that might have a positive or negative impact on particular groups in the community.

Report and Budget Title: Budget 2011-12 CSF grant fallout

Outline of issue: The DfE have radically changed the grant regime for education, schools and wider children's services: reducing the number of grants overall; mainstreaming a number into revenue support Grant (RSG) or dedicated schools Grant (DSG); merging a number of (reduced) grants into a new Early Intervention Grant which is not ring-fenced but includes the funding for a range of statutory children/education functions.

In year savings on ABG with a full year effect of c900k were proposed as part of the budget process. A further c3.2m (estimate) of funding fallout is now anticipated and 1m of grant is still to be announced. Some of these grant losses/reductions were anticipated due to their being fixed term, however the wide range of changes mean that officers are now having to review all grant related spend to bring activity in line with the significantly lower levels of resources now anticipated.

Summary information

How does the proposal for savings/growth impact on Merton's responsibility to promote equality of opportunity and community cohesion:

CSF grants are used to under pin statutory functions and to provide a broad range of services to children, schools and families. Many have been specifically designed to improve outcomes for vulnerable groups. Where appropriate resources are targeted to the most vulnerable young people and this supports our work to ensure equalities and social cohesion issues are addressed.

What actions, to address any negative impact have been identified?

The reduced levels of resources available will continue to be carefully targeted and funding will still provide support for disabled children, children and families at risk of statutory social care intervention, young people at risk of entry into the Criminal Justice system and children looked after by the authority.

What actions will be taken to mitigate and reduce the negative impact that has been identified?

As well as ensuring resources are appropriately targeted, we will also ensure that through our Transformation programme and effective commissioning and procurement of services we get the very best value from the resources available. This includes work across the Children's Trust partnership and with Schools to make best use of our collective resources in the borough.

ls the proposal to go ahead/be amende	Go ahead			
Completed by: Head of Service:	Paul Ballatt		Date	04/01/11
Authorised by: Director :	Yvette Stanley		Date	04/01/2011

Group	Positive impact	Neutral	Negative impact	Evidence used, e.g. census, user surveys, local research etc	How might the proposal be amended to address any negative impact?	How will any potentially negative impact of the proposal be monitored and reviewed?
Men						
Women						
Boys		V				
Girls		√				
People of different age groups		√				
Disabled people		V				
People of any ethnic group including both settled and newly arrived communities		V				
People of different faiths		V				
Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender (LGBT) people		V				
Vulnerable people e.g. looked after children, or low income households		V				
People living in particular wards or neighbourhoods		V				

Merton's Corporate Equality Scheme sets out the Council's approach to valuing diversity and promoting equality.

By mainstreaming equality issues into the proposed budgets for savings/growth helps Merton identify areas that might have a positive or negative impact on particular groups in the community.

Report and Budget Title: **ER33a** Sustainable Communities savings—Future Merton

Outline of proposed savings/: --Transforming the Spatial Planning, Physical Regeneration and Transport Planning teams into one team (Future Merton), proposed saving of £100,000 (In addition to £150k agreed at 13th Dec Cabinet)

Summary information

How does the proposal for savings/growth impact on Merton's responsibility to promote equality of opportunity and community cohesion:

Reductions in resources will mean a new level of service to customers commensurate with the resource allocation, though this will not impact disproportionately on any one group

What actions, to address any negative impact have been identified?

Use of accurate project planning and reporting to anticipate demands and manage expectations of service delivery. Use of alternative sources of income to supplement performance where appropriate

What actions will be taken to mitigate and reduce the negative impact that has been identified?

See above

Is the proposal to go ahead/be amended (give details) or abandoned?

To be considered at Cabinet 17th Jan

Completed by: Head of Service: Authorised by: Director :

Date Dec 2010
Date Jan 2011

Group	Positive impact	Neutral	Negative impact	Evidence used, e.g. census, user surveys, local research etc	How might the proposal be amended to address any negative impact?	How will any potentially negative impact of the proposal be monitored and reviewed?
Men		Х				
Women		Х				
Boys		Х				
Girls		Х				
People of different age groups		Х				
Disabled people		Х				
People of any ethnic group including both settled and newly arrived communities		Х				
People of different faiths		х				
Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender (LGBT) people		Х				
Vulnerable people e.g. looked after children, or low income households		Х				
People living in particular wards or neighbourhoods		Х				

Merton's Corporate Equality Scheme sets out the Council's approach to valuing diversity and promoting equality.

By mainstreaming equality issues into the proposed budgets for savings/growth helps Merton identify areas that might have a positive or negative impact on particular groups in the community.

Report and Budget Title: Environment & Regeneration, Savings 2011-15, Waste Services, Waste Disposal

Outline of proposed savings/growth: **ER34a** Savings in Landfill Costs

Summary information

How does the proposal for savings/growth impact on Merton's responsibility to promote equality of opportunity and community cohesion:

There will be neither a positive nor a negative impact upon Merton's responsibility to promote equality of opportunity and community cohesion.

What actions, to address any negative impact have been identified? N/A

What actions will be taken to mitigate and reduce the negative impact that has been identified? N/A

Is the proposal to go ahead/be amended (give details) or abandoned?

Completed by: Head of Service: Cormac Stokes

Authorised by: Director : Chris Lee

Date December 2010

Date: December 2010

Group	Positive impact	Neutral	Negative impact	Evidence used, e.g. census, user surveys, local research etc	How might the proposal be amended to address any negative impact?	How will any potentially negative impact of the proposal be monitored and reviewed?
Men		Х				
Women		Х				
Boys		Х				
Girls		Х				
People of different age groups		Х				
Disabled people		Х				
People of any ethnic group including both settled and newly arrived communities		Х				
People of different faiths		х				
Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender (LGBT) people		Х				
Vulnerable people e.g. looked after children, or low income households		Х				
People living in particular wards or neighbourhoods		Х				

Merton's Corporate Equality Scheme sets out the Council's approach to valuing diversity and promoting equality.

By mainstreaming equality issues into the proposed budgets for savings/growth helps Merton identify areas that might have a positive or negative impact on particular groups in the community.

Report and Budget Title: ER37 Bus Lanes

Outline of proposed savings/growth: Additional enforcement in Bus Lanes - various

Summary information

How does the proposal for savings/growth impact on Merton's responsibility to promote equality of opportunity and community cohesion:

N/A

What actions, to address any negative impact have been identified?

N/A

What actions will be taken to mitigate and reduce the negative impact that has been identified?

N/A

Is the proposal to go ahead/be amended (give details) or abandoned? To be Considered at 17th January Cabinet

Completed by: Head of Service: John F. Hill

Authorised by: Director

Date

Dec 2010

-Date

Jan 2011

Group	Positive impact	Neutral	Negative impact	Evidence used, e.g. census, user surveys, local research etc	How might the proposal be amended to address any negative impact?	How will any potentially negative impact of the proposal be monitored and reviewed?
Men		X				
Women		Х				
Boys		Х				
Girls		Х				
People of different age groups		Х				
Disabled people		Х				
People of any ethnic group including both settled and newly arrived communities		Х				
People of different faiths		X				
Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender (LGBT) people		Х				
Vulnerable people e.g. looked after children, or low income households		Х				
People living in particular wards or neighbourhoods		Х				

Merton's Corporate Equality Scheme sets out the Council's approach to valuing diversity and promoting equality.

By mainstreaming equality issues into the proposed budgets for savings/growth helps Merton identify areas that might have a positive or negative impact on particular groups in the community.

Report and Budget Title: **ER38** Physical Regeneration budget reduction

Outline of proposed savings/: --Reduction in supplies and services budgets (funded through ABG in 2010-11).

Summary information

How does the proposal for savings/growth impact on Merton's responsibility to promote equality of opportunity and community cohesion:

Reductions in resources will mean a new level of service to customers commensurate with the resource allocation, though this will not impact disproportionately on any one group

What actions, to address any negative impact have been identified?

Use of accurate project planning and reporting to anticipate demands and manage expectations of service delivery. Use of alternative sources of income to supplement performance where appropriate

Date Dec 2010

Date Jan 2011

What actions will be taken to mitigate and reduce the negative impact that has been identified?

See above

Is the proposal to go ahead/be amended (give details) or abandoned?

To be considered at Cabinet 17th Jan

Completed by: Head of Service:
Authorised by: Director :

Group	Positive impact	Neutral	Negative impact	Evidence used, e.g. census, user surveys, local research etc	How might the proposal be amended to address any negative impact?	How will any potentially negative impact of the proposal be monitored and reviewed?
Men		Х				
Women		Х				
Boys		Х				
Girls		Х				
People of different age groups		Х				
Disabled people		Х				
People of any ethnic group including both settled and newly arrived communities		Х				
People of different faiths		х				
Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender (LGBT) people		Х				
Vulnerable people e.g. looked after children, or low income households		Х				
People living in particular wards or neighbourhoods		Х				

Merton's Corporate Equality Scheme sets out the Council's approach to valuing diversity and promoting equality.

By mainstreaming equality issues into the proposed budgets for savings/growth helps Merton identify areas that might have a positive or negative impact on particular groups in the community.

Report and Budget Title: Adult Social Care: Commissioning savings (CC22, CC26, CC38, CC39, CC40)

Outline of proposed savings/growth:

The savings proposed relate to achieving value for money on commissioned services in adult social care and re-shaping the provider market to deliver the personalisation agenda.

Summary information

How does the proposal for savings/growth impact on Merton's responsibility to promote equality of opportunity and community cohesion:

The proposed savings do not impact on Merton's responsibilities as access and eligibility to these services will not be affected. The services will continue to operate with improved efficiencies.

What actions, to address any negative impact have been identified?

All commissioned services are subject to the regular contract monitoring and customer feedback processes which will highlight any negative impact or trends so that they can be addressed.

What actions will be taken to mitigate and reduce the negative impact that has been identified?

Improvement action plans are built in within the commissioning and contract monitoring processes to deal with and reduce any negative impacts when identified. Groups using Taylor Road have been assisted in identifying alternative venues.

s the proposal to go ahead/be amended (give details) or abandoned?							
Completed by: Head of Service: Rahat Ahmed-Man	Date 7.1.11						
Authorised by: Director :	Date						

Group	Positive impact	Neutral	Negative impact	Evidence used, e.g. census, user surveys, local research etc	How might the proposal be amended to address any negative impact?	How will any potentially negative impact of the proposal be monitored and reviewed?
Men		V		Contract monitoring data	Improvement action plans	All commissioned services are
Women		V		including usage data,	are built in within the	subject to the regular contract
Boys				Care Funding Calculator	contract monitoring	and customer feedback
Girls		√		Tool, Joint Strategic	processes to deal with and	monitoring processes which will
People of different age				Needs Assessment,	reduce any negative impacts when identified.	highlight any negative impact or
groups				Commissioning strategy	impacts when identified.	trends so that they can be addressed.
Disabled people		V		consultation,		addressed.
People of any ethnic group including both settled and newly arrived communities		V		consultations on service specifications with users and providers, census,		
People of different faiths		√ V		carer and user surveys.		
Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender (LGBT) people		V				
Vulnerable people e.g. looked after children, or low income households		V				
People living in particular wards or neighbourhoods		V				

Merton's Corporate Equality Scheme sets out the Council's approach to valuing diversity and promoting equality.

By mainstreaming equality issues into the proposed budgets for savings/growth helps Merton identify areas that might have a positive or negative impact on particular groups in the community.

Report and Budget Title: Housing savings (HO03)

Outline of proposed savings/growth: -Savings: Package being proposed is a reduction of 2011/12 homelessness grant

Summary information

How does the proposal for savings/growth impact on Merton's responsibility to promote equality of opportunity and community cohesion:

Current proposals includes a reduction of 2011/12 homelessness grant. This will not impact upon the services ability to promote equalities and community cohesion through homelessness prevention and housing advice activities and users will be able to access existing housing advice and homelessness services

What actions, to address any negative impact have been identified?

For the proposals currently being put forward there are no negative impacts.

What actions will be taken to mitigate and reduce the negative impact that has been identified? NA at this stage

Is the proposal to go ahead/be amended (give details) or abandoned?

Completed by: Head of Serv	rice:Steve Langley	Date 7/01	1/11
Authorised by: Director	:	DateD	

Group	Positive impact	Neutral	Negative impact	Evidence used, e.g. census, user surveys, local research etc	How might the proposal be amended to address any negative impact?	How will any potentially negative impact of the proposal be monitored and reviewed?
Men		X		Housing Register , Housing and Homelessness Strategies.		
Women		Х		Housing Register, Housing and Homelessness Strategies		
Boys		Х		Housing Register, Housing and Homelessness Strategies		
Girls		Х		Housing Register, Housing and Homelessness Strategies		
People of different age groups		Х		Housing Register, Housing and Homelessness Strategies		
Disabled people		Х		Housing Register, Housing and Homelessness Strategies		
People of any ethnic group including both settled and newly arrived communities		X		Housing Register, Housing and Homelessness Strategies		

APPENDIX 7

People of different	X	Housing Register,	
faiths		Housing and	
		Homelessness	
		Strategies	
Lesbian Gay Bisexual	X	Housing Register,	
Transgender (LGBT)		Housing and	
people		Homelessness	
		Strategies	
Vulnerable people e.g.	X	Housing Register,	
looked after children, or		Housing and	
low income households		Homelessness	
		Strategies	
People living in	Х		
particular wards or			
neighbourhoods			